

UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN
INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (CI²MA)



An a priori error analysis of an HDG method for an eddy
current problem

ROMMEL BUSTINZA, BIBIANA LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ,
MAURICIO OSORIO

PREPRINT 2016-09

SERIE DE PRE-PUBLICACIONES

AN A PRIORI ERROR ANALYSIS OF AN HDG METHOD FOR AN EDDY CURRENT PROBLEM

ROMMEL BUSTINZA, BIBIANA LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ, AND MAURICIO OSORIO

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns itself with the development of an a priori error analysis of an eddy current problem when applying the well known Hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin (HDG) method. Up to the authors knowledge, this kind of theoretical result has not been proved for this kind of problems. We consider non trivial domains and heterogeneous media which contain conductor and insulating materials. When dealing with these domains, it is necessary to impose the divergence-free condition explicitly in the insulator, what is done by means of a suitable Lagrange multiplier in that material. In the end, we deduce an equivalent HDG formulation that includes as unknowns the tangential and normal trace of a vector field. This represents a reduction in the degrees of freedom when compares with the standard DG methods. For this scheme we conduct a consistency and local conservative analysis as well as its unique solvability. After that, we introduce suitable projection operators that help us to deduce the expected a priori error estimate, which provides estimated rates of convergence when additional regularity on the exact solution is assumed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The eddy current problem is obtained from the Maxwell equations disregarding the electric displacement currents in the Ampère-Maxwell law. This simplification of the equations is reasonable when the magnitude of the displacement currents is negligible compared to the other terms of the equation (see, for instance Chapter 15 in [7] or Chapter 1 in [3]). Our goal is to study the time-harmonic eddy current problem defined in a three-dimensional domain including conducting and dielectric materials. This model arises in applications where the problem is posed in a bounded domain and it is necessary to link the electromagnetic fields with the current source, given as a volume current, in a conducting region.

For proposing a numerical solution of the eddy current problem, two fundamental aspects should keep in mind. The first one is the need to impose a divergence-free condition to one of the electromagnetic variables in the dielectric part of the domain and the second one is that, depending on the electromagnetic properties of the coefficients in each material, discontinuities could be generated in the electromagnetic variables. The first aspect has been studied by continuous Galerkin methods by introducing a Lagrange multiplier or a scalar potential in the dielectric, see [1] with volumetric current source and [2, 5, 6, 14] for different power sources. Regarding the second aspect, discontinuous Galerkin methods (DG) have been considered in recent years to deal with the discontinuity of the approximate solution, see [17]. However the DG methods have a disadvantage compared to continuous methods: the number of degrees of freedom is larger.

In this paper, we propose and analyse a hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin (HDG) method for the time-harmonic eddy current problem. Hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin methods appear in 2010 as a new kind of discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method that allows to find the approximate solution by solving an equivalent system of equations associated to the skeleton of the partition of the domain. This makes the scheme to be competitive with the standard continuous Galerkin methods, for example. In [16] the authors introduce an HDG method under the assumption that the domain contains only dielectric material (conductivity is zero). They show experimentally that the method is convergent with the expected convergence rates; however a theoretical error analysis is missing. The current paper aims

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65N30, 65M60, 65N12, 35L65.

Key words and phrases. HDG, eddy current problem.

The first author was partially supported by CONICYT-Chile through the FONDECYT project No. 1130158 and BASAL project CMM, Universidad de Chile, by Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (CI²MA), Universidad de Concepción, and by CONICYT project Anillo ACT1118 (ANANUM).

The second and third authors were supported by the the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Hermes projects 17304 and 27734.

to introduce a more general analysis to the model when conducting and dielectric materials are introduced in the domain. To this end, we introduce a Lagrange multiplier to impose the divergence-free condition in the dielectric domain. As far as we know, there are a few papers dealing with HDG formulations for either eddy current or Maxwell problems (see [16] and [15]) and none of them present a complete error analysis.

The HDG method initially proposed here, has as unknowns the variables related to the magnetic and electric field across the entire domain, the Lagrange multiplier in the dielectric domain and two variables defined only on the skeleton (associated to the tangential and normal trace of the electric field). By imposing the local conservative condition the method reduces to solving a system defined only on the skeleton, thus obtaining a complete method that preserves the discontinuities due to the different electromagnetic properties of the materials and the divergence-free condition in the dielectric, thus reducing the number of unknowns compared with standard DG methods.

Here, we propose an HDG formulation based on the normal and tangential trace of vector field. We show consistency and local conservative results, then prove that this formulation is well-posed and obtain error estimates. To this end, we introduce suitable projection operators and under additional assumptions on the regularity of the exact solution we obtain theoretical rates of convergence.

The outline of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the time-harmonic eddy current model and approximations spaces. Then, in Section 3, we propose and analyze our HDG formulation to show it has a unique solution and the scheme is locally conservative and consistent. The hybridization of the scheme, that leads to a linear system defined on the skeleton, is introduced in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we establish the a priori error analysis that is the main contribution of this paper.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

2.1. Eddy current problem. Maxwell's equations are used to describe electromagnetic phenomena. The eddy current model results by disregarding the effect of electric displacement in the Ampère-Maxwell law, and assuming that all fields involved are sinusoidal in time. This yields the model problem:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= \sigma \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}^s && \text{in } \Omega, \\ i\omega\mu \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{E}) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega_D, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{E} denotes the intensity of electric field, \mathbf{H} the intensity of magnetic field, ω the angular frequency, and \mathbf{J}^s the density of current, which is assumed to be divergence-free. We have to take also into account the electromagnetic coefficients: magnetic permeability μ , electric permittivity ϵ and electric conductivity σ . We remark that i denotes the imaginary unit. About the domain Ω , we assume that it is bounded, simple connected, and consists on two parts: Ω_C and Ω_D , with Ω_C representing the conductor domain while Ω_D denotes the dielectric one (cf. Figure 1). The boundary of Ω , named Γ , is supposed to be connected and Lipschitz-continuous. Γ_0 denotes the interface between the conductor and dielectric domains. We point out that the electromagnetic coefficients depend on the material. In particular, σ is positive in conductor material and vanishes in the dielectric one. The electric permittivity is assumed to be positive and continuous in each subdomain, as well as the magnetic permeability.




FIGURE 1. Domain Ω for problem (2.1)

We introduce now the unknowns: $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{z} = \mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$. Then, system (2.1) can be rewritten as the first order system

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \mathbf{z} - \nabla \times \mathbf{u} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{z} + i\omega \sigma \mathbf{u} - \epsilon \nabla \varphi|_{\Omega_D} &= -i\omega \mathbf{J}^s && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}) &= \varphi && \text{in } \Omega_D, \\ \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} &= 0, \\ \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g} && \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \varphi &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Here, \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{n}_0 denote the outward normal unit vector to Γ and Γ_0 , disjoint components of $\partial\Omega_D$.

Throughout this paper, when \lesssim is used, it means that the inequality holds with, eventually, an omitted constant factor that does not depend either on the mesh size or the electromagnetic coefficients or stabilization parameters. Also, we will use standard notation for Sobolev spaces and norms. We will use the well known Hilbert spaces $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; \Omega)$, etc. (see, for instance, [4]). Let us emphasize the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_\Gamma(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega_D) &:= \{ \mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega_D) : \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Gamma \}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_0}(\text{div}_\epsilon^0; \Omega_D) &:= \{ \mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}_\epsilon; \Omega_D) : \text{div } \epsilon \mathbf{F} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_D, \epsilon \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Mesh and approximation spaces. We let now \mathcal{T}_h be a shape-regular simplicial triangulation of Ω , where h also denotes the mesh size of triangulation. Next, we set $\partial\mathcal{T}_h := \{\partial K : K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$ and define \mathcal{E}_h^I and $\mathcal{E}_h^{0,I}$ as the set of interior faces F induced by \mathcal{T}_h , lying in Ω and Ω_D , respectively. By \mathcal{E}_h we denote the set of all faces induced by \mathcal{T}_h . In addition, we define \mathcal{T}_h^D and \mathcal{T}_h^C as the triangulations of Ω_D and Ω_C , induced by \mathcal{T}_h , and by \mathcal{E}_h^D and \mathcal{E}_h^C their corresponding sets of all faces induced by \mathcal{T}_h^D and \mathcal{T}_h^C , respectively. As usual, given $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, \mathbf{n} denotes the outward normal unit vector to ∂K .

Now, let \mathbf{z} be a function living in $[\mathbf{H}^{1/2}(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3$, and let F a face in $\partial\mathcal{T}_h$, shared by two adjacent elements K^+ and K^- in \mathcal{T}_h , that is $F = \partial K^+ \cap \partial K^-$. By \mathbf{z}^\pm we set the trace of \mathbf{z} on F from the interior of K^\pm , while \mathbf{n}^+ and \mathbf{n}^- denote the outward unit normal vectors to K^+ and K^- , respectively. Then, the jumps $[[\cdot]]$ are defined as follows. When F is an interior face, we set

$$[[\mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{z}]] := \mathbf{n}^+ \odot \mathbf{z}^+ + \mathbf{n}^- \odot \mathbf{z}^-,$$

while when F is a boundary face, we define

$$[[\mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{z}]] := \mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{z},$$

with \mathbf{n} being, in this case, the outward unit normal to Γ . Here, \odot is either \cdot or \times . We recall the definitions of \mathbf{z}^t , the tangential component of \mathbf{z} , as well as of \mathbf{z}^n , the normal component of \mathbf{z} :

$$\mathbf{z}^t := \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{n}), \quad \mathbf{z}^n := (\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}.$$

We point out that the trace of \mathbf{z} on ∂K , can be decomposed as $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{z}^n$.

We introduce the following approximation spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_h &:= \{q \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{T}_h^D) : q|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_h &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in [\mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3 : \mathbf{v}|_K \in [\mathbb{P}_k(K)]^3 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ \mathbb{P}_h^\Gamma &:= \{q \in \mathbb{P}_h : q = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\}, \\ \mathbb{M}_h^t &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{\beta} \in [\mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{E}_h)]^3 : \boldsymbol{\beta} \in [\mathbb{P}_k(F)]^3, (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n})|_F = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{E}_h \right\}, \\ \mathbb{M}_h^t(\mathbf{g}) &:= \{ \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t : \mathbf{n} \times \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Gamma \}, \\ \mathbb{M}_h^n &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in [\mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{E}_h^D)]^3 : \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in [\mathbb{P}_k(F)]^3, (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \mathbf{n})|_F = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{E}_h^D \right\}, \\ \mathbb{M}_h^n(0) &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n, : (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0)|_F = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma_0} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter, given S of positive measure, $\mathbb{P}_k(S)$ denotes the space of complex-valued polynomials of degree at most $k \geq 0$ on S . Moreover, $\mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{g}$ corresponds to the \mathbf{L}^2 -projection of \mathbf{g} onto \mathbb{M}_h^t . We remark that \mathbb{M}_h^t

(\mathbb{M}_h^n resp.) consists of vector-valued functions whose normal (tangential resp.) component is zero on any face $F \in \mathcal{E}_h$ ($F \in \mathcal{E}_h^{0,I}$ resp.). The inner products to be considered here are

$$\begin{aligned} (q, p)_{\mathcal{T}_h} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (q, p)_K, & (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_{\mathcal{T}_h} &:= \sum_{j=1}^d (v_j, w_j)_{\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ \langle q, p \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle q, p \rangle_{\partial K}, & \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} &:= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle v_j, w_j \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(q, p)_D := \int_D q \bar{p}$, with \bar{p} denoting the conjugate complex operator when applies to p .

3. HDG FORMULATION

In this section we apply the well known HDG method to deduce a discrete variational formulation. Then, we look for $(\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{P}_h^\Gamma \times \mathbb{M}_h^t \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$, solution of the discrete scheme:

$$(3.1) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathbf{z}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t, \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\varphi_h, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} - \langle \hat{\varphi}_h, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} = (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{T}_h},$$

$$(3.3) \quad (\varphi_h, \rho)_{\mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \rho)_{\mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} - \langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \rho \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} = 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \mathbf{n} \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_\Gamma = \langle \mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_\Gamma,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \mathbf{n} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \Gamma} = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \hat{\varphi}_h, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^\mathbb{D}} = 0,$$

for all $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \rho, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{P}_h^\Gamma \times \mathbb{M}_h^t \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$, where $\mathbf{J} := -i\omega \mathbf{J}^s$. The numerical fluxes $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t$ and $\hat{\varphi}_h$ are defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t &= \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t + \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t), \\ \hat{\varphi}_h &= \tilde{\varphi}_h - \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_h = \begin{cases} \varphi_h & \text{on } \mathcal{E}_h^{0,I} \cup \Gamma, \\ \varphi_h - \lambda \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} & \text{on } \Gamma_0. \end{cases}$$

Here, λ is a positive constant, and τ_t and τ_n are stabilization parameters, to be defined later.

One important feature of this discrete formulation is given next.

Lemma 3.1. *The HDG scheme defined by (3.1)-(3.6) is locally conservative and consistent.*

Proof. First we observe that (3.5) implies that $\llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \rrbracket = 0$ on \mathcal{E}_h^I . By (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t + \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t) \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket + \llbracket \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t) \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket + \tau_t^+ (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t)^+ + \tau_t^- (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t)^- \\ &= \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket + \tau_t^+ (\mathbf{u}_h^{t+} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{t+}) + \tau_t^- (\mathbf{u}_h^{t-} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{t-}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (since numerical fluxes are single-valued)

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t = \frac{1}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket + \frac{\tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} + \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^{t-}}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-}.$$

Substituting this into (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t &= \mathbf{z}_h^t + \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^t - \frac{1}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket - \frac{\tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} + \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^{t-}}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \right) \times \mathbf{n} \\ &= \mathbf{z}_h^t + \frac{\tau_t}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^t + \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^t - \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket - \tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} - \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^{t-} \right) \times \mathbf{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(3.9) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^{t+} = \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} + \frac{\tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} - \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket - \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^{t-} \right) \times \mathbf{n}^+$$

$$(3.10) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^{t-} = \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} + \frac{\tau_t^-}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{t-} - \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket - \tau_t^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} \right) \times \mathbf{n}^-.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket \times \mathbf{n}^+ &= \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \times \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} + \mathbf{n}^- \times \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \right) \times \mathbf{n}^+ \\ &= \mathbf{n}^+ \times \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \times \mathbf{n}^+ \right) + \mathbf{n}^- \times \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \times \mathbf{n}^+ \right) \\ &= \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ \right) - \mathbf{n}^+ \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \right) + \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \left(\mathbf{n}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ \right) - \mathbf{n}^+ \left(\mathbf{n}^- \cdot \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} - \mathbf{z}_h^{t-}, \\ \llbracket \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{z}_h^t \rrbracket \times \mathbf{n}^- &= \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \times \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} + \mathbf{n}^- \times \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \right) \times \mathbf{n}^- \\ &= \mathbf{n}^+ \times \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \times \mathbf{n}^- \right) + \mathbf{n}^- \times \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \times \mathbf{n}^- \right) \\ &= \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^- \right) - \mathbf{n}^- \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \right) + \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \left(\mathbf{n}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- \right) - \mathbf{n}^- \left(\mathbf{n}^- \cdot \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \right) \\ &= -\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} + \mathbf{z}_h^{t-}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_h^{t+} \times \mathbf{n}^+ &= \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \times \left(\mathbf{u}_h^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+ \right) \right) \times \mathbf{n}^+ = \left(\mathbf{u}_h^+ - \left(\mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^+ \right) \mathbf{n}^+ \right) \times \mathbf{n}^+ = \mathbf{u}_h^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+, \\ \mathbf{u}_h^{t-} \times \mathbf{n}^- &= -\mathbf{u}_h^- \times \mathbf{n}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^{t+} &= \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} + \frac{\tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+ + \tau_t^- \mathbf{u}_h^- \times \mathbf{n}^- \right) - \frac{\tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} - \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \tau_t^- + \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} + \frac{\tau_t^- \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\mathbf{u}_h^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+ + \mathbf{u}_h^- \times \mathbf{n}^- \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \tau_t^- + \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} + \frac{\tau_t^- \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \right) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^{t-} &= \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} + \frac{\tau_t^- \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\mathbf{u}_h^- \times \mathbf{n}^- + \mathbf{u}_h^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+ \right) - \frac{\tau_t^-}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\mathbf{z}_h^{t-} - \mathbf{z}_h^{t+} \right) \\ &= \frac{\tau_t^+ \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} + \tau_t^- \mathbf{z}_h^{t+}}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} + \frac{\tau_t^- \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t = \frac{\tau_t^+ \mathbf{z}_h^{t-} + \tau_t^- \mathbf{z}_h^{t+}}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} + \frac{\tau_t^- \tau_t^+}{\tau_t^+ + \tau_t^-} \left(\llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \right).$$

Now, since $\langle \epsilon \widehat{\varphi}_h \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0$ and ϵ is continuous in Ω_D , we have $\llbracket \widehat{\varphi}_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = 0$ on $\mathcal{E}_h^{0,I}$. Then, using the fact that $\mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \llbracket (\varphi_h - \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket (\varphi_h - \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket - \llbracket (\tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket - \left(\tau_n^+ (\mathbf{u}_h^+ - (\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \mathbf{n}^+ - \left(\tau_n^- (\mathbf{u}_h^- - (\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \mathbf{n}^- \right) \right) \\ &= \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket - \tau_n^+ (\mathbf{u}_h^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \mathbf{n}^+ - \tau_n^- (\mathbf{u}_h^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \mathbf{n}^- + \tau_n^+ \left((\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ \right) \mathbf{n}^+ + \tau_n^- \left((\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- \right) \mathbf{n}^- \\ &= \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket - \tau_n^+ (\mathbf{u}_h^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \mathbf{n}^+ - \tau_n^- (\mathbf{u}_h^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \mathbf{n}^- + \tau_n^+ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n+} + \tau_n^- \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n = \frac{\tau_n^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{n^+} + \tau_n^- \mathbf{u}_h^{n^-}}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{1}{\tau_n^+ + \epsilon^- \tau_n^-} \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket.$$

Replacing in (3.7), we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}_h = \varphi_h - \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \varphi_h - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n} - \frac{\tau_n^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{n^+} + \tau_n^- \mathbf{u}_h^{n^-}}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) - \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}.$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{\varphi}_h^+ = \varphi_h^+ - \tau_n^+ \left(\mathbf{u}_h^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ - \frac{\tau_n^+ \mathbf{u}_h^{n^+} + \tau_n^- \mathbf{u}_h^{n^-}}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ \right) - \frac{\tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}^+.$$

Since $\llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ = \varphi_h^+ \mathbf{n}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ + \varphi_h^- \mathbf{n}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ = \varphi_h^+ - \varphi_h^-$, we deduce

$$\widehat{\varphi}_h^+ = \frac{\varphi_h^+ \tau_n^- + \varphi_h^- \tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{\tau_n^+ \tau_n^-}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} (\mathbf{u}_h^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- + \mathbf{u}_h^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) = \frac{\varphi_h^+ \tau_n^- + \varphi_h^- \tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{\tau_n^+ \tau_n^-}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket.$$

Similarly, noticing that $\llbracket \varphi_h \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}^- = -\varphi_h^+ + \varphi_h^-$, we can check that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_h^- = \frac{\varphi_h^- \tau_n^+ + \varphi_h^+ \tau_n^-}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{\tau_n^- \tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} (\mathbf{u}_h^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- + \mathbf{u}_h^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) = \frac{\varphi_h^- \tau_n^+ + \varphi_h^+ \tau_n^-}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{\tau_n^- \tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket,$$

and thus,

$$\widehat{\varphi}_h = \frac{\varphi_h^- \tau_n^+ + \varphi_h^+ \tau_n^-}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} - \frac{\tau_n^- \tau_n^+}{\tau_n^+ + \tau_n^-} \llbracket \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket.$$

Therefore, the numerical fluxes of the HDG scheme are locally conservative. It is not difficult to check that the exact solution satisfies the HDG formulation (3.1)–(3.6), so the scheme is also consistent. \square

Next result establishes the unique solvability of discrete linear system.

Theorem 3.2. *The solution $(\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{P}_h^\Gamma \times \mathbb{M}_h^t \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$ of problem (3.1)–(3.6) exists and is unique.*

Proof. Let us consider the homogeneous problem associate to problem (3.1)–(3.6) and take $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h$, $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h$, $\rho := \varphi_h$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} := -\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t$, $\boldsymbol{\xi} := \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n$ in equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6), respectively. We have

$$(3.11) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \mathbf{z}_h \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = 0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} - i \omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{u}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h), \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\varphi}_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0,$$

$$(3.13) \quad (\varphi_h, \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0,$$

$$(3.14) \quad -\langle \mathbf{n} \times \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \Gamma} = 0,$$

$$(3.15) \quad \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\varphi}_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0.$$

Also from equation (3.4), we deduce $\mathbf{n} \times \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t = \mathbf{0}$ on Γ . This fact, together with (3.14), help us to ensure that

$$(3.16) \quad \langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = -\overline{\langle \mathbf{n} \times \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}} = 0.$$

Adding (3.11)–(3.15), we get

$$(3.17) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + [(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h}] - \langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \mathbf{z}_h \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} - i \omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{u}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + [(\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h), \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}] + (\varphi_h, \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\varphi}_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \langle \mathbf{n} \times \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \Gamma} + \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\varphi}_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0.$$

Then, taking into account (3.16) and the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} &= \langle \mathbf{u}_h^t, \mathbf{z}_h^t \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}, \\ (\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h), \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} &= \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{n}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} &= -\langle \mathbf{u}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}, \end{aligned}$$

(3.17) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\varphi_h, \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{u}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right] &+ \langle \mathbf{u}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, (\mathbf{z}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_h^t) \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\ &+ \langle \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h - \widehat{\varphi}_h \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the definition of the numerical traces (cf. (3.7)-(3.8)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\varphi_h, \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{u}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right] &+ \langle \mathbf{u}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t) \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\ &+ \langle \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \lambda |\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^n|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}|^2 \\ &- \lambda \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^n|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, since $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \in \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad \left[(\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\varphi_h, \varphi_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{u}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right] &+ \langle \mathbf{u}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t) \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\ &+ \langle \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \lambda |\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (3.18)

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{z}_h = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_h,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \varphi_h = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_h^D,$$

$$(3.21) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t = \mathbf{u}_h^t \quad \text{in } \partial \mathcal{T}_h,$$

$$(3.22) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{in } \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D,$$

$$(3.23) \quad \langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^n|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} = 0,$$

$$(3.24) \quad \mathbf{u}_h = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}_h^C.$$

Equations (3.21) and (3.22) imply that $\mathbf{u}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{u}_h|_{\Omega_D} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}; \Omega_D)$. Thus, using (3.23), (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we can conclude that $\mathbf{u}_h|_{\Omega_D} \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_0}(\mathbf{curl}^0; \Omega_D) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_0}(\text{div}_\epsilon^0; \Omega_D)$, and therefore $\mathbf{u}_h = 0$ also in \mathcal{T}_h^D (see Theorem 8.4 in [13]). This concludes the proof. \square

4. HYBRIDIZATION

One of the advantages of the HDG method is the fact that it is enough to solve a suitable and equivalent linear system whose degrees of freedom are defined on the skeleton of the mesh. Then, the global unknowns are recovered in a non expensive post process. This is not the exception here, as we describe next.

We notice that equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) can be written as

$$(4.1) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{r})_K - (\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{r}) = \langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad (\mathbf{z}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{v})_K - \langle \mathbf{z}_h^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial K} &+ \langle \tau_t \mathbf{u}_h^t, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial K} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v})_K \\ &- \langle \widehat{\varphi}_h, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \langle \tau_n \mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \langle \tau_n \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} \\ &+ \langle \tau_t \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial K} + (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{v})_K, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad (\varphi_h, \rho)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \rho)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \langle \epsilon \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \rho \rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi_h|_F = 0 \quad \forall F = \partial K \cap \Gamma,$$

for all $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \rho) \in [\mathbb{P}_k(K)]^3 \times [\mathbb{P}_k(K)]^3 \times \mathbb{P}_k(K)$ with $\rho = 0$ on any face $F = \partial K \cap \Gamma$, for any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Therefore, if $(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n, \mathbf{J})$ is given, we can compute $(\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h)$ in an element-by-element fashion by solving

(4.1)-(4.4) for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. This allows us to introduce the local solver \mathcal{L} defined by $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n, \mathbf{J}) := (\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h)$. Then, given $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbb{M}_h^t \times \mathbb{M}_h^n$, we set

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}) &= \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}), \\ (\mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}), \\ (\mathbf{z}_h^\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\mathbf{J}, \varphi_h^\mathbf{J}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. The solvability of (4.1)-(4.4) follows similar arguments than the ones applied in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Now, taking into account the decomposition (4.5) in (3.5)–(3.6), we deduce the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)$ be the solution of the HDG scheme (3.1) – (3.6). We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_h &= \mathbf{z}_h^\alpha + \mathbf{z}_h^\delta + \mathbf{z}_h^\mathbf{J}, \\ \mathbf{u}_h &= \mathbf{u}_h^\alpha + \mathbf{u}_h^\delta + \mathbf{u}_h^\mathbf{J}, \\ \varphi_h &= \varphi_h^\alpha + \varphi_h^\delta + \varphi_h^\mathbf{J}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n = \boldsymbol{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathbb{M}_h^t(\mathbf{g}) \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(\mathbf{0})$ is the solution of

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_h(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) + b_h(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= l_h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t(\mathbf{0}), \\ d_h(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + c_h(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= f_h(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n(\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the forms as well as the functionals in (4.6) are given, for any $\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n$, by

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta})^t \times \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle \tau_t \left((\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta})^t - \boldsymbol{\eta} \right), \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ b_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi})^t \times \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^t, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ c_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &:= \left\langle \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ d_h(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &:= \left\langle \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta} \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ l_h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= - \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^\mathbf{J})^t \times \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\mathbf{J} \right)^t, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ f_h(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) &:= - \left\langle \varphi_h^\mathbf{J}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\mathbf{J} \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D}. \end{aligned}$$

Next results give us some information on the structure of the associated matrix system to (4.6).

Lemma 4.3. *For all $\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n$ there hold*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^\eta)^t \times \mathbf{n} - \tau_t \left((\mathbf{u}_h^\eta)^t - \boldsymbol{\eta} \right), \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} &= \left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\eta, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \left(\varphi_h^\eta, \varphi_h^\beta \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &+ i \omega \left(\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\eta, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &+ \lambda \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\eta |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0}} \\
 &+ \left\langle \tau_t \left((\mathbf{u}_h^\eta)^t - \boldsymbol{\eta} \right), \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^t - \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &+ \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\eta \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\xi \right)^t \times \mathbf{n} - \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi \right)^t, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = - \left\langle \tau_n \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h^\beta \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$- \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^J \right)^t \times \mathbf{n} - \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^J \right)^t, \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = \left(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - 2 i \omega \left(\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle \varphi_h^\xi - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi - \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} &= \left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left(\varphi_h^\xi, \varphi_h^\gamma \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} \\
 &+ \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi \right)^t, \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right)^t \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} \\
 &+ \lambda \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\xi |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0}} \\
 &+ \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi - \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\gamma - \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

$$- \left\langle \varphi_h^J - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^J \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \left(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. The strategy here is very similar to the one applied in [16]. To make the paper self-contained, we first write down the local system that defines $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$:

$$\left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\eta, \mathbf{r} \right)_K - \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\eta, \nabla \times \mathbf{r} \right)_K = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\eta, \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \right)_K - \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\eta \right)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\eta \right)^t, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\partial K} + i \omega \left(\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\eta, \mathbf{v} \right)_K \\
 + \left(\varphi_h^\eta, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{v}) \right)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_h^\eta - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\eta \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \langle \tau_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial K},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\left(\varphi_h^\eta, \rho \right)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left(\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\eta, \nabla \rho \right)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\varphi_h^\eta|_F = 0 \quad \forall F = \partial K \cap \Gamma. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof of (4.7): Now, considering $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ in (4.12), then testing it with $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\eta$, we find that its conjugate is written as

$$\left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\eta, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)_K - \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\eta, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_K = \langle \mathbf{z}_h^\eta \times \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle_{\partial K}. \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, after replacing $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ in (4.14), taking $\rho := \varphi_h^\eta$ and conjugating the resulting expression, we have

$$\left(\varphi_h^\eta, \varphi_h^\beta \right)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left(\nabla \varphi_h^\eta, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Next, we evaluate (4.13) for $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\beta$, and add equations (4.16) and (4.17). After replacing $\tilde{\varphi}_h^\eta$ (defined as in (3.8) but with the superscript $\boldsymbol{\eta}$) and suitable simplifications, we establish (4.7).

Proof of (4.8): First, we notice that the conjugate of (4.12), after taking $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\xi$, is

$$\left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)_K - \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)_K = \langle \mathbf{z}_h^\xi \times \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle_{\partial K}. \tag{4.18}$$

Now, taking $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\beta$ in the first equation that induces $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})$, we have

$$\left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)_K - \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)_K = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Next, the conjugate of (4.13), after taking β as η and $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\xi$, is

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta)_K - \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)^t \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi \right)^t, \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^t \right\rangle_{\partial K} - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K \\ & + (\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\xi), \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\xi \cdot \mathbf{n}, \tilde{\varphi}_h^\beta - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \langle \tau_t \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \beta \rangle_{\partial K}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, taking $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\beta$ in the second equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \xi, \mathbf{0})$, we have

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K - \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\xi \right)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi \right)^t, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right\rangle_{\partial K} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K \\ & + (\varphi_h^\xi, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta))_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_h^\xi - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\xi \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} = \langle \tau_n \xi \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the conjugate of (4.14), after taking β as η and $\rho := \varphi_h^\xi$, is

$$(4.22) \quad (\varphi_h^\xi, \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \nabla \varphi_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0,$$

while taking $\rho = \varphi_h^\beta$ in the third equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \xi, \mathbf{0})$, gives

$$(4.23) \quad (\varphi_h^\xi, \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \nabla \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0.$$

Then, (4.8) is obtained after simplifying (4.18)-(4.19)-(4.20)+(4.21)+(4.22)-(4.23), and summing up $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Proof of (4.9): We need to do the following operations: Conjugating (4.12), for $\eta := \beta$ and $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^J$, we have

$$(4.24) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta)_K - (\nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K = \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^J \right)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \beta \right\rangle_{\partial K}.$$

Next, evaluating the first equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, for $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\beta$, we obtain

$$(4.25) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta)_K - (\mathbf{u}_h^J, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\beta)_K = 0.$$

Now, the conjugate of (4.13), for $\eta := \beta$ and $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^J$, we deduce

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\beta)_K - \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^J, \left(\mathbf{z}_h^\beta \right)^t \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^J \right)^t, \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^t \right\rangle_{\partial K} - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K \\ & + (\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J), \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J \cdot \mathbf{n}, \tilde{\varphi}_h^\beta - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} = \langle \tau_t \mathbf{u}_h^J, \beta \rangle_{\partial K}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\beta$ in second equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, we derive

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{z}_h^J, \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K - \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}_h^J \right)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^J \right)^t, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \right\rangle_{\partial K} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K \\ & + (\varphi_h^J, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta))_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_h^J - \tau_n \left(\mathbf{u}_h^J \right)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} = (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_K. \end{aligned}$$

The conjugate of (4.14), for $\eta := \beta$ and $\rho := \varphi_h^J$, is

$$(4.28) \quad (\varphi_h^J, \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\nabla \varphi_h^J, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0,$$

and third equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, for $\rho := \varphi_h^\beta$, reduces to

$$(4.29) \quad (\varphi_h^J, \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J, \nabla \varphi_h^\beta)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0,$$

Then, after simplifying (4.25)-(4.24)+(4.26)-(4.27)-(4.28)+(4.29), and summing up $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we conclude (4.9).

Proof of (4.10): Taking $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma$ in the second equation in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})$, we deduce

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K - \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^\xi)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^\xi)^t, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right\rangle_{\partial K} \\ & + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K + (\varphi_h^\xi, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma))_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_h^\xi, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} \\ & + \left\langle \tau_n \left((\mathbf{u}_h^\xi)^n - \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^\gamma - \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = - \left\langle \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^\xi - \boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the conjugate of third equation in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})$, after taking $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ as $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\rho := \varphi_h^\xi$, is

$$(4.31) \quad (\varphi_h^\xi, \varphi_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\nabla \varphi_h^\xi, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \left\langle \varphi_h^\xi, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)}.$$

We also consider the conjugate of first equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})$, after taking $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ as $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\xi$:

$$(4.32) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)_K - (\nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\xi, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K = 0.$$

After simplifying (4.30)+(4.31)+(4.32) and summing up $K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D$, we deduce (4.10).

Proof of (4.11): First, the conjugate of (4.14), for $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\rho := \varphi_h^J$, we have

$$(4.33) \quad (\varphi_h^J, \varphi_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\nabla \varphi_h^J, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = \left\langle \varphi_h^J, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)}.$$

Evaluating third equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, for $\rho := \varphi_h^\gamma$, we derive

$$(4.34) \quad (\varphi_h^J, \varphi_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J, \nabla \varphi_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} = 0.$$

The conjugate of (4.13), for $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^J$, is

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)_K - \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^J, (\mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)^t \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^J)^t, (\mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)^t \right\rangle_{\partial K} \\ & - i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K + (\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J), \varphi_h^\gamma)_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} \\ & - \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^J \cdot \mathbf{n}, \tilde{\varphi}_h^\gamma \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} + \left\langle \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^J)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} = \left\langle \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^J)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma$ in second equation that defines $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, we derive

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{z}_h^J, \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K - \left\langle (\mathbf{z}_h^J)^t \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right\rangle_{\partial K} + \left\langle \tau_t (\mathbf{u}_h^J)^t, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \right\rangle_{\partial K} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K \\ & + (\varphi_h^J, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma))_{K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tilde{\varphi}_h^J - \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^J)^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial(K \cap \mathcal{T}_h^D)} = (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K. \end{aligned}$$

Now, conjugating (4.12), for $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^J$, results

$$(4.37) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)_K - (\nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{u}_h^\gamma)_K = 0,$$

while first equation in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J})$, when $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma$, gives

$$(4.38) \quad (\mu \mathbf{z}_h^J, \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)_K - (\mathbf{u}_h^J, \nabla \times \mathbf{z}_h^\gamma)_K = 0.$$

Finally, after simplifying (4.34)-(4.33)+(4.35)-(4.36)-(4.37)+(4.38), and summing up $K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D$, we obtain (4.11). \square

As a consequence of Lemmata 4.2 and 4.3, we conclude the following result that gives some knowledge on the structure of system (4.6).

Lemma 4.4. $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathbb{M}_h^t(\mathbf{g}) \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$ satisfies the following system

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} a_h(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) + b_h(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= l_h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t(\mathbf{0}), \\ \overline{b_h(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})} + c_h(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= f_h(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) & \forall \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n(0), \end{aligned}$$

where the forms and functionals are given, for all $\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{M}_h^t$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{M}_h^n$, by

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= \left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \left(\varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega \left(\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \left\langle \tau_n (\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta})^n \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon (\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta})^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D} \\ &\quad + \lambda \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_0}} + \left\langle \tau_t \left((\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\eta})^t - \boldsymbol{\eta} \right), (\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta})^t - \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ b_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= - \left\langle \tau_n \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left\langle \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ c_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &:= \left(\mu \mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{z}_h^\boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left(\varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varphi_h^\boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^t, (\mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\gamma})^t \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ l_h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &:= \left(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - 2i\omega \left(\sigma \mathbf{u}_h^\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\beta} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h}, \\ f_h(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) &:= \left(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{u}_h^\boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.5. We notice that the complex-valued matrix of discrete system (4.39) is symmetric but not hermitian. This is due to the presence of a term that contains the imaginary unit i as a factor in the sesquilinear form a_h . We point out that the unknowns in this system are defined only on the skeleton of \mathcal{T}_h , and then it should be less expensive to solve than the original HDG scheme (3.1)-(3.6). Once (4.39) is solved, we recover the global unknowns by solving (4.5), which again represents an inexpensive post-process.

5. A PRIORI ERROR ANALYSIS

5.1. Projection operators. We begin this section by introducing the projector operators for \mathbf{z} , \mathbf{u} and φ , that help us to obtain a priori error estimates of the method. This definition will depend on whether the element is taken from \mathcal{T}_h^C or \mathcal{T}_h^D . Moreover, when we are on \mathcal{T}_h^D , we distinguish the operators defined on $K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D$ that have at least one face lying on Γ and that have none. It is important to remark that the operators we consider here have been presented and studied in [11], [12].

We start by introducing $\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{V}_h$ as the standard piecewise orthogonal L^2 -projection of \mathbf{z} onto \mathbf{V}_h . This means that on each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$:

$$(5.1) \quad (\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r})_K = (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r})_K \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in [\mathbb{P}_k(K)]^3.$$

Now, since φ is defined only on the dielectric domain, we set $\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}_h$ in the conductor domain as in Section 6 in [12]:

$$(5.2) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h^C : \begin{cases} (\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K & \forall \mathbf{v} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)]^3, \\ \langle \Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K} = \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K} & \forall \mathbf{v} \in [\mathbb{P}_k^\perp(K)]^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_k^\perp(K) := \{p \in \mathbb{P}_k(K) : (p, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)\}$.

Concerning the dielectric region, we first propose a suitable decomposition of \mathcal{T}_h^D . To this aim, we set $A^D := \{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D : |\partial K \cap \Gamma|_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} > 0\}$, and $B^D := \mathcal{T}_h^D \setminus A^D$. Then, we define $(\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u}, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi)$ as follows:

$$(5.3) \quad \forall K \in B^D : \begin{cases} (\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K & \forall \mathbf{v} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)]^3, \\ (\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi, \psi)_K = (\varphi, \psi)_K & \forall \psi \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K), \\ \langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi - \tau_n \Pi_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \eta \rangle_F = \langle \varphi - \tau_n \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \eta \rangle_F & \forall \eta \in \mathbb{P}_k(F) \quad \forall F \in \partial K, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \forall K \in A^D : \begin{cases} (\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K & \forall \mathbf{v} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K)]^3, \\ \langle \tau_n \Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K} = \langle \tau_n \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K} & \forall \mathbf{v} \in [\mathbb{P}_k^\perp(K)]^3, \\ (\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi, \psi)_K = (\varphi, \psi)_K & \forall \psi \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(K), \\ \langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi, \eta \rangle_{F_0} = \langle \varphi, \eta \rangle_{F_0} & \forall \eta \in \mathbb{P}_k(F_0), F_0 = \partial K \cap \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

We point out that since $\varphi = 0$ on Γ , its projection $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi$ also vanishes on Γ . We notice that in B^D we define $(\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u}, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi)$ as in [11], while in A^D , we follow the description given in Section 6 in [12] to define $(\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u}, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi)$.

In what follows, we resume the approximation properties of the introduced projector operators, according to the region where they are defined.

Lemma 5.1. *Considering $\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u}$ given by (5.2), and $l_{\mathbf{u}} \in [0, k]$, then for any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h^C$ there hold*

- (a) $\|(\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1/2} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall [\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (b) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (c) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1/2} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3.$

Proof. They are consequences of Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 in [12]. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $\tau_n|_{\partial K} > 0$, for any $K \in A^D$. Then, for $l_{\mathbf{u}}, l_{\varphi} \in [0, k]$, the operators $\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}$ defined in (5.4), satisfy*

- (a) $\|(\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1/2} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (b) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1/2} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (c) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (d) $\|\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\varphi}+1/2} |\varphi|_{l_{\varphi}+1, K} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^{l_{\varphi}+1}(K),$
- (e) $\|\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\varphi}+1} |\varphi|_{l_{\varphi}+1, K} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^{l_{\varphi}+1}(K).$

Proof. (a)-(c) are deduced from Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 in [12], while for (d)-(e) we refer to the proof of Proposition 2.1-(vii) in [10]. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $\tau_n|_{\partial K} > 0$, for any $K \in B^D$. Then, for $l_{\mathbf{u}}, l_{\varphi} \in [0, k]$, the operators $\Pi_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}$ defined in (5.3), satisfy*

- (a) $\|\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\varphi}+1} |\varphi|_{l_{\varphi}+1, K} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}^{l_{\varphi}+1}(K),$
- (b) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} + \frac{h_K^{l_{\varphi}+1}}{(\tau_n)_K^*} |\varphi|_{l_{\varphi}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3,$
- (c) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1/2} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{u}}+1}(K)]^3.$

Here $(\tau_n)_K^* := \min \tau_n|_{\partial K}$.

Proof. These results correspond to Theorem 2.1 in [9] (see also Theorem 2.1 in [11]). \square

Lemma 5.4. *Given $l_{\mathbf{z}} \in [0, k]$, there hold on any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$*

- (a) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}\|_K \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{z}}+1} |\mathbf{z}|_{l_{\mathbf{z}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{z}}}(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3,$
- (b) $\|\Pi_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}\|_{\partial K} \lesssim h_K^{l_{\mathbf{z}}+1/2} |\mathbf{z}|_{l_{\mathbf{z}}+1, K} \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{H}^{l_{\mathbf{z}}}(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3.$

Proof. We refer to [8]. \square

5.2. Error estimates. The idea here is to bound the errors involving the discrete solution and its corresponding projection. Then, the a priori error estimate is derived by applying triangle inequality. To this end, we first introduce the following notations, which we call the *projection errors*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi &:= \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi - \varphi_h, & \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t} &:= P_{\mathbb{M}_h^t} \mathbf{u}^t - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, & \mathbf{e}_h^z &:= \Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_h, \\ \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n} &:= P_{\mathbb{M}_h^n(0)} \mathbf{u}^n - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n, & \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}} &:= \Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\mathbb{M}_h^t}$ and $P_{\mathbb{M}_h^n(0)}$ denote the L^2 -projection onto \mathbb{M}_h^t and $\mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$, respectively. Now, we relate these projection errors in the next result.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \varphi)$ and $(\mathbf{z}_h, \mathbf{u}_h, \varphi_h, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n)$ be the solutions of (2.2) and (3.1)-(3.6), respectively. Then, the projection errors $\mathbf{e}_h^z, \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n}, \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t}$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad & (\mu \mathbf{e}_h^z, \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t}, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = 0, \\ & (\mathbf{e}_h^z, \nabla \times \mathbf{v}) + \left\langle \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{e}_h^z)^t + \tau_t \left((\mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}})^t - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t} \right), \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega (\sigma \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ & + (\mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi + \tau_n \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n} - (\mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}})^n \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} \\ & + \lambda \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \mathbf{v} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}} \\ (5.6) \quad & = \left\langle \tau_t \left((\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u})^t + P_{\mathbb{M}_h^t} \mathbf{u}^t \right), \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega (\sigma (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ & - \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{B}^D \\ F_0 \in \partial K \cap \Gamma}} \langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi - \varphi, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial K} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}^D} \left\langle \tau_n \left((\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u})^n - P_{\mathbb{M}_h^n(0)} \mathbf{u}^n \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial K} \\ & + \lambda \langle \epsilon (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u}) |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \mathbf{v} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}} + \langle \mathbf{n} \times (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}, \\ (5.7) \quad & (\mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \rho)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + (\epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \rho)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \rho \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} = (\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi - \varphi, \rho)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}, \\ (5.8) \quad & \left\langle \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} = 0, \\ (5.9) \quad & \left\langle -\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{e}_h^z)^t + \tau_t \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t} - (\mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}})^t \right), \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \Gamma} = \left\langle -\mathbf{n} \times (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}) + \tau_t (\mathbf{u} - \Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u})^t, \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \Gamma}, \\ & \left\langle \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi + \tau_n \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^n} - \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \lambda \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}} |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}} \\ (5.10) \quad & = \langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}\varphi - \varphi, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{A}^D} - \langle \tau_n (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{A}^D} \\ & - \lambda \langle \epsilon (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u}) |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \rho, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h \times \mathbf{V}_h \times \mathbb{P}_h^\Gamma \times \mathbb{M}_h^t \times \mathbb{M}_h^n(0)$.

Proof. First, we derive from (3.1):

$$(\mu (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}_h^z), \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle P_{\mathbb{M}_h^t} \mathbf{u}^t - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t}, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \mathbf{e}_h^z, \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{e}_h^{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{\mathbf{u}}^t}, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} &= (\mu \Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ & - (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{u}, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \left\langle P_{\mathbb{M}_h^t} \mathbf{u}^t, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\ & = (\mu (\Pi_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ & + \left[(\mu \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - (\mathbf{u}, \nabla \times \mathbf{r})_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \mathbf{u}^t, \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and (5.5) is deduced, after applying the consistency of the scheme and the fact that $\Pi_V \mathbf{z}$ is the L^2 -projection of \mathbf{z} onto \mathbf{V}_h . (5.6)–(5.10) are established by similar arguments. We omit further details. \square

Next result establishes an important inequality that helps us to conclude on the convergence of the method.

Theorem 5.6. *The projection errors \mathbf{e}_h^z , \mathbf{e}_h^u , \mathbf{e}_h^φ , $\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t}$, and $\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n}$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \mu^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^z \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \omega \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 + \lambda \left| \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \right|^2 + \left\| (\tau_n \epsilon)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 \\
 & + \left\| \tau_t^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right)^t \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}^2 \lesssim \omega \left\| \sigma^{1/2} (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\| \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 + \left\| \tau_t^{1/2} (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}^2 \\
 (5.11) \quad & + \left\| (\epsilon \tau_n)^{1/2} (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{\partial A^D}^2 + \left\| (\epsilon \tau_n^{-1})^{1/2} (\Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi) \right\|_{\partial A^D}^2 \\
 & + \lambda \left| \langle \epsilon (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \right|^2 + \left\| \tau_t^{-1/2} \mathbf{n} \times (\Pi_V \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}) \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. After reducing $\overline{(5.5)} + \overline{(5.6)} + \overline{(5.7)}$, for $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{e}_h^z$, $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{e}_h^u$, and $\rho := \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \mu^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^z \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\langle \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}_h^z, \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{e}_h^t} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right)^t, \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 \\
 & - \left\langle \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} - \mathbf{e}_h^u \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \lambda \left| \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \right|^2 \\
 (5.12) \quad & = i\omega (\sigma (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{e}_h^u)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} + \langle \mathbf{n} \times (\Pi_V \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{e}_h^u \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\
 & - \left\langle \tau_t (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u})^t, \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \langle \tau_n (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial A^D} \\
 & + \lambda \langle \epsilon (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We notice that (5.8) implies $\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} = \mathbf{0}$ on Γ (take for example $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t}$). Then, taking $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi} := \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n}$ in (5.9) and (5.10) respectively, and summing the resulting relations with (5.12), we have (after some simplifications)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \mu^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^z \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\langle \tau_t \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right)^t, \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right)^t \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} + i\omega \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^\varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 \\
 & + \left\langle \tau_n \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} - \mathbf{e}_h^u \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} - \mathbf{e}_h^u \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D} + \lambda \left| \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \right|^2 \\
 & = i\omega (\sigma (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{e}_h^u)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\mathbf{e}_h^\varphi, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi)_{\mathcal{T}_h^D} - \left\langle \tau_t (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u})^t, \mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h} \\
 & + \left\langle \tau_n (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \epsilon (\mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial A^D} + \left\langle \Pi_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi - \varphi, \epsilon \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^n} - \mathbf{e}_h^u \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\rangle_{\partial A^D} \\
 & + \lambda \langle \epsilon (\Pi_V \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \overline{\langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u |_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0}} + \left\langle \mathbf{n} \times (\Pi_V \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}), \mathbf{e}_h^u - \mathbf{e}_h^{\hat{u}_h^t} \right\rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking into account the property $\frac{1}{2}(|\operatorname{Re}(w)| + |\operatorname{Im}(w)|) \leq |w|, \forall w \in \mathbb{C}$, and a discrete version of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (5.11) is obtained. We omit further details. \square

As by product, we derive the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Assuming that the exact solution of (2.2), $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \varphi) \in [H^{l_z+1}(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3 \times [H^{l_u+1}(\mathcal{T}_h)]^3 \times H^{l_\varphi+1}(\mathcal{T}_h^D)$, $l_z, l_u, l_\varphi \in [0, k]$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mu^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^z \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \omega \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_h^u \right\|_{\mathcal{T}_h}^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_h^\varphi\|_{\mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 + \lambda \left| \langle \epsilon \mathbf{e}_h^u|_{\Omega_D} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_0} \right|^2 + \left\| \tau_t^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_h^t \right)^t \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h}^2 \\ & + \left\| (\tau_n \epsilon)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^u - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 \lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\frac{1}{(\tau_t)_K^*} \right) h^{2(l_z+1/2)} |\mathbf{z}|_{l_z+1, K}^2 + \sum_{K \in B^D} h_K^{2(l_\varphi+1)} |\varphi|_{l_\varphi+1, K}^2 \\ & + \sum_{K \in A^D} \left(h_K + \frac{\max_K \epsilon}{(\tau_n)_K^*} \right) h_K^{2(l_\varphi+1/2)} |\varphi|_{l_\varphi+1, K}^2 + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^C} \left(\omega \left(\max_K \sigma \right) h_K + (\tau_n)_K^m \right) h^{2(l_u+1/2)} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_u+1, K}^2 \\ & + \sum_{K \in A^D} \left((\tau_t)_K^m + \left(\max_K \epsilon \right) (\tau_n)_K^m \right) h_K^{2(l_u+1/2)} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_u+1, K}^2 + \sum_{K \in B^D} \left((\tau_t)_K^m + \lambda \left(\max_K \epsilon \right) h_k \right) h_K^{2(l_u+1/2)} |\mathbf{u}|_{l_u+1, K}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, given $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we have $(\tau_n)_K^m := \max \tau_n|_{\partial K}$, $(\tau_t)_K^m := \max \tau_t|_{\partial K}$, $(\tau_n)_K^* := \min \tau_n|_{\partial K}$, and $(\tau_t)_K^* := \min \tau_t|_{\partial K}$.

Proof. It follows straightforwardly by using triangle inequalities, applying Theorem 5.6 and some approximation properties (cf. Lemmata 5.1-5.4). We omit further details. \square

Now, in order to deduce an error estimate for the numerical fluxes, we introduce the norm $\|\cdot\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^D}$ given by $\|\theta\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D} h_K \|\theta\|_{\partial K}^2$ for any function $\theta \in L^2(\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D) := \prod_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D} L^2(\partial K)$. The definition of $\|\theta\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^C}$, when $\theta \in [L^2(\partial \mathcal{T}_h^C)]^3$, is given in analogous way. So, we derive the following results.

Theorem 5.8. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem 5.7, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^D}^2 \lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^D} \frac{h_K}{\epsilon (\tau_n)_K^*} \left\| (\epsilon \tau_n)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n} - (\mathbf{e}_h^u)^n \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{\partial K}^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_h^u\|_K^2, \\ \text{b) } & \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t} \right\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^C}^2 \lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^C} \frac{h_K}{(\tau_t)_K^*} \left\| (\tau_t)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t} - (\mathbf{e}_h^u)^t \right) \right\|_{\partial K}^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_h^u\|_K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Just apply triangle inequality and Theorem 5.7. We omit further details. \square

Finally, we conclude the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.9. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem 5.7, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_h\|_{0, \Omega} &= \mathcal{O}(h^{\ell+1/2}), & \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^n} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^D} &= \mathcal{O}(h^{\ell+1/2}), \\ \left\| \sigma^{1/2} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h) \right\|_{0, \Omega} &= \mathcal{O}(h^{\ell+1/2}), & \left\| \mathbf{e}_h^{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^t} \right\|_{h, \mathcal{T}_h^C} &= \mathcal{O}(h^{\ell+1/2}), \\ \|\varphi - \varphi_h\|_{0, \Omega} &= \mathcal{O}(h^{\ell+1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell = \min\{l_z, l_u, l_\varphi\}$, provided τ_n and τ_n^{-1} , as well as τ_t and τ_t^{-1} remain of order one on $\partial \mathcal{T}_h^D$ and $\partial \mathcal{T}_h$, respectively.

These results show that we achieve rates of convergence for the method, but without applying any duality argument. In addition, since σ vanishes on Ω_D , the current analysis is not able to find an a priori error estimate for the error of \mathbf{u}_h in the dielectric domain. Nevertheless, in practice, this knowledge is not so useful.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Using a simple strategy, we have been able to develop an a priori error analysis of an HDG method for an eddy current problem. We point out that, as far as we know, this kind of analysis has not been done before. We observe that under enough regularity of the exact solution, the error of the method behaves as $\mathcal{O}(h^{k+1/2})$, where $k \geq 0$. Moreover, the same analysis does not let us prove super-convergence for the error of involved numerical fluxes. The introduction of adjoint problem and use of dual arguments do not help us in this matter. It remains open, then, if this rate of convergence is indeed the best it could be, or it can be improved, for example, by defining another suitable projection operators. Some numerical examples could be helpful also in this direction. These would be the subject of future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ana Alonso Rodríguez, Ralf Hiptmair, and Alberto Valli, *Mixed finite element approximation of eddy current problems*, IMA journal of numerical analysis **24** (2004), no. 2, 255–271.
- [2] Ana Alonso Rodríguez and Alberto Valli, *Voltage and current excitation for time-harmonic eddy-current problems*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics **68** (2008), no. 5, 1477–1494.
- [3] ———, *Eddy current approximation of maxwell equations: theory, algorithms and applications*, Vol. 4, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [4] Cherif Amrouche, Christine Bernardi, Monique Dauge, and Vivette Girault, *Vector potentials in three-dimensional non-smooth domains*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences **21** (1998), no. 9, 823–864.
- [5] Alfredo Bermúdez, Rodolfo Rodríguez, and Pilar Salgado, *A finite element method with lagrange multipliers for low-frequency harmonic maxwell equations*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **40** (2002), no. 5, 1823–1849.
- [6] Oszkar Biro and Kurt Preis, *On the use of the magnetic vector potential in the finite-element analysis of three-dimensional eddy currents*, Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on **25** (1989), no. 4, 3145–3159.
- [7] Alain Bossavit, *Most general” non-local” boundary conditions for the maxwell equation in a bounded region*, COMPEL-DUN LAOGHAIRE THEN BRADFORD- **19** (2000), no. 2, 239–245.
- [8] Philippe G Ciarlet, *The finite element method for elliptic problems*, Vol. 40, Siam, 2002.
- [9] Bernardo Cockburn and Jintao Cui, *An analysis of hdg methods for the vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the stokes problem in three dimensions*, Mathematics of Computation **81** (2012), no. 279, 1355–1368.
- [10] Bernardo Cockburn, Bo Dong, and Johnny Guzmán, *A superconvergent ldg-hybridizable galerkin method for second-order elliptic problems*, Mathematics of Computation **77** (2008), no. 264, 1887–1916.
- [11] Bernardo Cockburn, Jayadeep Gopalakrishnan, and Francisco-Javier Sayas, *A projection-based error analysis of hdg methods*, Mathematics of Computation **79** (2010), no. 271, 1351–1367.
- [12] Bernardo Cockburn and Francisco-Javier Sayas, *Divergence-conforming hdg methods for stokes flows*, Mathematics of Computation **83** (2014), no. 288, 1571–1598.
- [13] Paolo Fernandes and Gianni Gilardi, *Magnetostatic and electrostatic problems in inhomogeneous anisotropic media with irregular boundary and mixed boundary conditions*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **7** (1997), no. 07, 957–991.
- [14] A Kameari, *Three dimensional eddy current calculation using edge elements for magnetic vector potential*, Appl. Electromagnetics in Materials (1988), 225–236.
- [15] Liang Li, Stephane Lanteri, and Ronan Perrussel, *A hybridizable discontinuous galerkin method for solving 3d time-harmonic maxwells equations*, Numerical mathematics and advanced applications 2011, 2013, pp. 119–128.
- [16] Ngoc Cuong Nguyen, Jaume Peraire, and Bernardo Cockburn, *Hybridizable discontinuous galerkin methods for the time-harmonic maxwells equations*, Journal of Computational Physics **230** (2011), no. 19, 7151–7175.
- [17] Ilaria Perugia and Dominik Schötzau, *The hp-local discontinuous galerkin method for low-frequency time-harmonic maxwell equations*, Mathematics of Computation **72** (2003), no. 243, 1179–1214.

DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA & CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (CI²MA),
 UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE
E-mail address: rbustinz@ing-mat.udec.cl

ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, SEDE MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA
E-mail address: blopezr@unal.edu.co

ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, SEDE MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA
E-mail address: maosorio@unal.edu.co

Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (CI²MA)

PRE-PUBLICACIONES 2015 - 2016

- 2015-42 LEONARDO E. FIGUEROA: *Orthogonal polynomial projection error measured in Sobolev norms in the unit disk*
- 2015-43 JUAN C. JIMENEZ, CARLOS M. MORA, MÓNICA SELVA: *A weak local linearization scheme for stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noise*
- 2015-44 LOURENCO BEIRAO-DA-VEIGA, DAVID MORA, GONZALO RIVERA, RODOLFO RODRÍGUEZ: *A virtual element method for the acoustic vibration problem*
- 2016-01 DANIELE BOFFI, LUCIA GASTALDI, RODOLFO RODRÍGUEZ, IVANA SEBESTOVA: *Residual-based a posteriori error estimation for the Maxwell eigenvalue problem*
- 2016-02 WEIFENG QIU, MANUEL SOLANO, PATRICK VEGA: *A high order HDG method for curved-interface problems via approximations from straight triangulations*
- 2016-03 GABRIEL N. GATICA: *A note on stable Helmholtz decompositions in 3D*
- 2016-04 JULIO ARACENA, ADRIEN RICHARD, LILIAN SALINAS: *Number of fixed points and disjoint cycles in monotone Boolean networks*
- 2016-05 SOPHIE BALEMANS, RAIMUND BÜRGER, STEFAN DIEHL, PIERRE FRANCOIS, JULIEN LAURENT, FLORENT LOCATELLI, INGMAR NOPENS, ELENA TORFS: *On constitutive functions for hindered settling velocity in 1-D settler models: selection of appropriate model structure*
- 2016-06 ANAHI GAJARDO, VINCENT NESME, GUILLAUME THEYSSIER: *Pre-expansivity in cellular automata*
- 2016-07 ELIGIO COLMENARES, MICHAEL NEILAN: *Dual-mixed finite element methods for the stationary Boussinesq problem*
- 2016-08 VERONICA ANAYA, DAVID MORA, CARLOS REALES, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER: *Finite element methods for a stream-function – vorticity formulation of the axisymmetric Brinkman equations*
- 2016-09 ROMMEL BUSTINZA, BIBIANA LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ, MAURICIO OSORIO: *An a priori error analysis of an HDG method for an eddy current problem*

Para obtener copias de las Pre-Publicaciones, escribir o llamar a: DIRECTOR, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE, TEL.: 41-2661324, o bien, visitar la página web del centro: <http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl>



**CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN
INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (CI²MA)
Universidad de Concepción**



Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile
Tel.: 56-41-2661324/2661554/2661316
<http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl>