# UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



# Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática $(CI^2MA)$



Pure vorticity formulation and Galerkin discretization for the Brinkman equations

> VERONICA ANAYA, DAVID MORA, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER

> > PREPRINT 2015-21

## SERIE DE PRE-PUBLICACIONES

#### PURE VORTICITY FORMULATION AND GALERKIN DISCRETIZATION FOR THE BRINKMAN EQUATIONS

VERÓNICA ANAYA<sup>†</sup>, DAVID MORA<sup>‡</sup>, AND RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER<sup>♯</sup>

ABSTRACT. We focus our attention in the development of a new finite element method for the approximation of the three-dimensional Brinkman problem formulated in terms of the velocity, vorticity, and pressure fields. The proposed strategy exhibits the advantage that, at the continuous level, a complete decoupling of vorticity and pressure can be established under the assumptions of constant permeability and sufficient regularity. The velocity is then obtained as a simple postprocess from vorticity and pressure, using the momentum equation. Well-posedness follows straightforwardly by the Lax-Milgram theorem. The Galerkin scheme is based on Nédélec and piecewise continuous finite elements of degree  $k \geq 1$  for vorticity and pressure, respectively. The discrete setting uses the very same ideas as in the continuous case, and the error analysis for the vorticity scheme is carried out first. As a byproduct of these error bounds and the problem decoupling, the convergence rates for the pressure and velocity are readily obtained in the natural norms with constants independent of the viscosity. We also present the analysis of the method for an axisymmetric Brinkman flow. A set of numerical examples in two and three spatial dimensions illustrate the robustness and optimal accuracy of the finite element method, as well as its competitive computational cost compared to recent fully-mixed and augmented formulations of incompressible flows.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The numerical solution of incompressible flow problems (Stokes, Navier-Stokes, Stokes-Darcy) formulated in terms of the vorticity, velocity, and pressure fields has been carried out using diverse discretization techniques going from spectral to discontinuous Galerkin, fullymixed, augmented finite elements and axisymmetric formulations [13, 12, 3, 5, 4, 6, 10, 8, 2].

Of particular interest for us, is the linear Brinkman problem, which stands as a suitable framework for the study of Stokes and Darcy flows (cf. [23]), as well as semi-discretizations of transient Stokes equations. For the velocity-vorticity-pressure formulation of this problem, in [7] the authors proposed augmented mixed formulations based on Raviart–Thomas finite elements for the velocity and piecewise continuous finite elements for the vorticity and pressure, also deriving solvability and error estimates using the Lax-Milgram theory. On the other hand, a dual mixed formulation (in 3D) has been introduced and analyzed in [26], where the well-posedness of the continuous and discrete formulations is established by virtue of the Babuška-Brezzi theory. The Galerkin scheme in this case is based on Nédélec,

Date: July 5, 2015.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 65N30, 65N12, 76D07, 65N15.

Key words and phrases. Brinkman equations; vorticity formulation; decoupling of unknowns; finite elements; axisymmetric domains; error analysis; optimal convergence; generalized Stokes problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>GIMNAP, Departamento de Matemática, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Concepción, Chile. E-mail: vanaya@ubiobio.cl.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>GIMNAP, Departamento de Matemática, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Concepción, Chile and Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (CI<sup>2</sup>MA), Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile. E-mail: dmora@ubiobio.cl.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Institut des Sciences de la Terre, Faculté des Géosciences et de l'Environnement, UNIL-Mouline Géopolis, Université de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. E-mail: ricardo.ruizbaier@unil.ch.

Raviart–Thomas and piecewise discontinuous finite elements for vorticity, velocity and pressure, respectively. Optimal error estimates and efficient preconditioners were introduced as well. Robust iterative solvers were recently proposed in [16]. Finally, we mention the stabilized mixed method analyzed for the axisymmetric case in [9], where a priori error estimates are derived uniformly in the viscosity. In contrast with these contributions, in this paper we propose a novel formulation based on two elliptic problems (one for vorticity and the other for pressure) plus a velocity postprocessing. This strategy entails a reduction in computational cost compared with fully-mixed or augmented vorticity-velocity-pressure formulations applied to the same problem. A further feature is that the overall scheme reduces to the solution of two positive definite algebraic systems (see Section 5).

Irrespective of the specific form of the flow model, the recovering of accurate flow patterns at an affordable computational burden has proven elusive in the past few decades. Several remedies can be applied, among which one can roughly highlight three main categories (that can be also combined with each other): a) reformulation of the model problem via splitting b) using of high performance computing techniques to achieve satisfactory accuracy for large scale problems, c) introduction of multilevel or multiscale representations of the solutions. Here, we essentially deal with option a), which in principle does not need sophisticated numerical nor computational techniques. The idea is related to Uzawa or prediction-correction type methods [15], and is based on splitting a large saddle point problem into smaller, elliptic ones. Similar strategies (decoupling vorticity from the other fields) also include the immersed boundary method splitting velocity and vorticity proposed in [22], and the decoupled vorticity - stream function formulation analyzed in [19].

Here we will exploit typical regularity assumptions and the boundary conditions to reformulate the coupled problem as two elliptic problems (one for vorticity and the other for pressure) plus a velocity postprocessing. Provided that one does not require to recover velocity patterns directly (common practice in subsurface flow computations, cf. [24]), only the vorticity problem needs to be solved. Alternatively, if only pressure profiles are sought, there is no need to solve the vorticity equations and only a generalized Laplace problem will yield the pressure distribution. The decoupling of unknowns implies in particular that the involved variational formulation can be analyzed using standard tools for elliptic problems, namely the Lax-Milgram Theorem and suitable Céa estimates. We will consider piecewise continuous polynomials of degree  $k \geq 1$  to approximate vorticity and pressure. Unique solvability of the discrete problems follow by adapting the same tools utilized for the continuous case. Under enough regularity, the finite element scheme converges with optimal rate; such estimates are fully independent of the viscosity (as desired in Brinkman-related problems). In addition, we also analyze the continuous and discrete problems written in axisymmetric coordinates. Adequate modifications to the functional framework allow us to consider practically the same arguments as in the Cartesian case.

The contents of the paper have been structured as follows. Functional spaces and recurrent notation will be collected in the remainder of this Section. The governing equations stated in terms of velocity, vorticity and pressure are presented in Section 2. There, we derive the splitting of the problem into three main parts, and establish their solvability. The approximation via finite elements, the well-posedness of the associated discrete problems, and the corresponding error analysis will be given in Section 3. Remarks on how the analysis is modified in case of axisymmetric formulations are provided in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains several numerical tests illustrating the convergence of the proposed method under different scenarios. **Preliminaries.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ . For any  $s \geq 0$ , the notation  $\|\cdot\|_{s,\Omega}$  stands for the norm of the Hilbertian Sobolev spaces  $\mathrm{H}^s(\Omega)$  or  $\mathrm{H}^s(\Omega)^3$ , with the usual convention  $\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega) := \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , we recall the definition of the Hilbert space

$$\mathrm{H}^{s}(\mathbf{curl};\Omega) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathrm{H}^{s}(\Omega)^{3} : \ \mathbf{curl}\, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathrm{H}^{s}(\Omega)^{3} \right\},$$

endowed with the norm  $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathrm{H}^{s}(\mathbf{curl};\Omega)}^{2} := \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{s,\Omega}^{2} + \|\mathbf{curl}\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{s,\Omega}^{2}$ , and we will denote  $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{curl};\Omega) := \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathbf{curl};\Omega)$ .

Moreover, c and C, with or without subscripts, tildes, or hats, will represent a generic constant independent of the mesh parameter h, assuming different values in different occurrences. In addition, for any vector fields  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_i)_{i=1,2,3}$  and any scalar field q we recall the notation:

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 v_2 + \partial_3 v_3, \ \boldsymbol{\theta} \times \boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2 v_3 - \theta_3 v_2 \\ \theta_3 v_1 - \theta_1 v_3 \\ \theta_1 v_2 - \theta_2 v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 v_3 - \partial_3 v_2 \\ \partial_3 v_1 - \partial_1 v_3 \\ \partial_1 v_2 - \partial_2 v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \nabla q = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 q \\ \partial_2 q \\ \partial_3 q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us introduce the following functional spaces

$$\mathbf{Z} := \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \times \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ on } \Gamma \} \text{ and } \mathbf{Q} := \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{L}^{2}_{0}(\Omega),$$

We endow the space Q with their natural norm:

$$||q||_{\mathbf{Q}} := \left( ||q||_{0,\Omega}^2 + ||\nabla q||_{0,\Omega}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

However, for the space Z we will consider the following  $\nu$ -dependent norm:

$$\|oldsymbol{ heta}\|_{\mathrm{Z}} := \left(\|oldsymbol{ heta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + 
u\|\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}oldsymbol{ heta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2
ight)^{1/2}$$

#### 2. The model problem and well-posedness analysis

Let us consider the well-known Brinkman problem modelling the steady-state flow of an incompressible viscous fluid within a porous medium. The governing equations can be stated in terms of the velocity  $\boldsymbol{u}$ , the scaled vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  and the pressure p of an incompressible viscous fluid (cf. [7, 8, 26]): Given a sufficiently smooth force density  $\boldsymbol{f}$ , we seek a triplet  $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, p)$  such that

(2.1) 
$$\sigma \boldsymbol{u} + \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\omega} + \nabla p = \boldsymbol{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
(2.2) 
$$\boldsymbol{\omega} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
(2.3) 
$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(2.4) u \cdot n = 0 on 1$$

(2.5) 
$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 on  $\Gamma$ ,

where  $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$  stands for the normal component of the velocity,  $\nu > 0$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid, and  $\sigma$  is the inverse permeability of the porous domain (here assumed positive and constant).

We observe that the boundary conditions considered here are relevant in the context of e.g. geophysical fluids and shallow water models [17, 18].

We proceed to test (2.2) against a generic  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions, we arrive at

(2.6) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta} - \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta} = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Next, from (2.1) we readily have

(2.7) 
$$\sigma \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\omega} - \nabla p \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$

and after replacing (2.7) in (2.6), we obtain

(2.8) 
$$\sigma \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta} + \nu \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta} = \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Finally, using again an integration by parts, the fact that  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the identity div curl  $\theta = 0$ , and Remark 2.5 from [14], we can eliminate the pressure term and rewrite (2.8) as a variational formulation of the Brinkman problem stated only in terms of the vorticity: Find  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

(2.9) 
$$A(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbf{Z},$$

where the bilinear form  $A: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  and the linear functional  $F: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$A(\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sigma \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta} + \nu \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \quad F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta}.$$

Now we establish the unique solvability of (2.9).

**Theorem 2.1** (Vorticity solution). Assume that  $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ . Then, there exists a unique solution  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z}$  to (2.9). Moreover, there exists C > 0 such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\mathrm{Z}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega}$$

*Proof.* First, we observe that the bilinear form A, and the linear functional F are bounded with a constant independent of  $\nu$ . More precisely, there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$|A(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq C_1 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\mathbb{Z}} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{Z}}$$
 and  $|F(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq C_2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{Z}}.$ 

In addition, is straightforward to see that  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  is elliptic over the whole space Z. Therefore, the result follows as a direct consequence of the Lax-Milgram Theorem.

**Remark 2.1** (Pressure solve). Let us notice that, as a consequence of the generalized Poincaré inequality and the Lax-Milgram Theorem, the pressure field can be computed as the unique solution of the following problem: Find  $p \in Q$  such that

(2.10) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \nabla q = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \nabla q \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

This variational formulation has been obtained by testing (2.1) with  $\nabla q$  for  $q \in Q$  and using integration by parts, combined with (2.3) and the boundary conditions (2.4),(2.5). Moreover, the following continuous dependence holds: there exists C > 0 such that

$$\|p\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

#### 3. FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION

In this section, we introduce the Galerkin scheme of problem (2.9) and analyze its wellposedness by establishing suitable assumptions on the finite element subspaces involved. Error estimates are also derived. 3.1. Formulation and solvability. Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega)\}_{h>0}$  be a shape-regular family of partitions of the polyhedral region  $\overline{\Omega}$ , by tetrahedrons T of diameter  $h_T$ , with mesh size  $h := \max\{h_T : T \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega)\}$ . In what follows, given an integer  $k \ge 1$  and a subset S of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_k(S)$  denotes the space of polynomial functions defined in S of total degree  $\le k$ .

Moreover, for any  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega)$ , we introduce the following local space (local Nédélec space):

$$\mathbb{N}_k(T) := \mathcal{P}_{k-1}(T) \oplus \mathcal{P}_{k-1}(T) \times \boldsymbol{x},$$

where x is a generic vector of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let us define the following finite element subspaces:

(3.1) 
$$Z_h := \{ \boldsymbol{\theta}_h \in \mathbf{Z} : \boldsymbol{\theta}_h |_T \in \mathbb{N}_k(T) \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega) \},$$

(3.2) 
$$Q_h := \{q_h \in Q : q_h|_T \in \mathcal{P}_k(T) \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega)\}.$$

Then, the Galerkin scheme associated with the continuous variational formulation (2.9) reads as follows: Find  $\omega_h \in \mathbb{Z}_h$  such that

(3.3) 
$$\sigma \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_h + \nu \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_h \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_h = \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_h \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\theta}_h \in \mathbf{Z}_h.$$

We are now in a position to state the main result of this section which yields the solvability of the discrete vorticity Brinkman problem (3.3) and the corresponding Céa estimate.

**Theorem 3.1** (Discrete vorticity solvability). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and let  $Z_h$  be given by (3.1). Then, there exists a unique solution  $\omega_h \in Z_h$  to problem (3.3) and there exists a positive constant C > 0 such that the following continuous dependence result holds:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $\hat{C} > 0$  such that

(3.4) 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq \widehat{C} \inf_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_h \in \mathbf{Z}_h} \|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}},$$

where C and  $\hat{C}$  are independent of  $\nu$  and h, and  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the unique solution to problem (2.9).

Now, we introduce the finite element discretization of (2.10): Find  $p_h \in Q_h$  such that

(3.5) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla p_h \cdot \nabla q_h = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \nabla q_h \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h.$$

The following result establishes the unique solvability of the discrete pressure problem (3.5) and the corresponding Céa estimate.

**Theorem 3.2** (Discrete pressure solvability). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and let  $Q_h$  be given by (3.2). Then, there exists a unique solution  $p_h \in Q_h$  to problem (3.5) and there exists a positive constant C > 0 such that the following continuous dependence result holds:

$$\|p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $\widehat{C} > 0$  such that

(3.6) 
$$||p - p_h||_{\mathbf{Q}} \le \widehat{C} \inf_{q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h} ||p - q_h||_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

where C and  $\hat{C}$  are independent of  $\nu$  and h, and  $p \in Q$  is the unique solution to problem (2.10).

3.2. Convergence analysis. According to (3.4) and (3.6), it only remains to prove that  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  and p can be conveniently approximated by functions in  $Z_h$  and  $Q_h$ , respectively. With this purpose, we introduce for s > 1/2, the Nédeléc global interpolation operator  $\mathcal{R}_h$ :  $\mathrm{H}^s(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}};\Omega) \cap \mathbb{Z} \to Z_h$  (see e.g. [1, 21]). This map satisfies the following property.

**Lemma 3.3.** For all  $\theta \in H^s(\operatorname{curl}; \Omega)$ ,  $s \in (1/2, k]$  there exists C > 0, independent of h, such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathcal{R}_h \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathrm{Z}} \leq Ch^s \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{curl};\Omega)}.$$

Now, for all s > 1/2, let  $\Pi : \mathrm{H}^{1+s}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{Q} \to \mathrm{Q}_h$  be the usual Lagrange interpolant, for which the following error estimate is available:

**Lemma 3.4.** For all  $q \in H^{1+s}(\Omega)$ ,  $s \in (1/2, k]$  there exists C > 0, independent of h, such that

$$\|q - \Pi_h q\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \le Ch^s \|q\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1+s}(\Omega)}.$$

We now turn to the statement of convergence properties of the discrete problems (3.3) and (3.5).

**Theorem 3.5** (Convergence of vorticity and pressure). Let  $k \ge 1$  and let  $Z_h$  and  $Q_h$  be given by (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. Let  $\omega \in Z$ , and  $\omega_h \in Z_h$  be the unique solutions to the continuous and discrete problems (2.9) and (3.3), respectively, and let  $p \in Q$ , and  $p_h \in Q_h$  be the unique solutions to the continuous and discrete problems (2.10) and (3.5), respectively. Assume that  $\omega \in H^s(\operatorname{curl}; \Omega)$ , and  $p \in H^{1+s}(\Omega)$ , for some  $s \in (1/2, k]$ . Then, there exists C > 0 independent of h and  $\nu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} &\leq Ch^s \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s(\mathbf{curl};\Omega)}, \\ \|p - p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}} &\leq Ch^s \|p\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1+s}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from (3.4), (3.6), and error estimates from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.  $\Box$ 

3.3. Recovering the velocity field. Solution of (2.9) delivers the vorticity field, whereas the pressure can be obtained from (2.10). In addition, it is possible to readily obtain the remaining quantity of interest in (2.1)-(2.5) (the velocity u): If  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  are the unique solutions of (2.9) and (2.10), respectively. Then, according to (2.7) we have that

(3.7) 
$$\boldsymbol{u} = \sigma^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{f} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\omega} - \nabla \boldsymbol{p} \right).$$

At the discrete level, this strategy corresponds to computing the velocity as a post-processing of vorticity and pressure: If  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_h \in \mathbf{Z}_h$  and  $p_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h$  are the unique solutions of (3.3) and (3.5), respectively, then the function

(3.8) 
$$\boldsymbol{u}_h := \sigma^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{f} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\omega}_h - \nabla p_h \right).$$

is an approximation of the velocity. The accuracy of such approximation is established in the following result.

**Theorem 3.6** (Convergence of velocity). Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  be the unique solutions of (2.9) and (2.10), respectively, and  $\omega_h \in \mathbb{Z}_h$  and  $p_h \in \mathbb{Q}_h$  be the unique solutions of (3.3) and (3.5), respectively. Assume that  $\omega \in \mathbb{H}^s(\operatorname{curl};\Omega)$ , and  $p \in \mathbb{H}^{1+s}(\Omega)$ , for some  $s \in (1/2, k]$ . Then, there exists C > 0 independent of h and  $\nu$  such that

$$\|oldsymbol{u}-oldsymbol{u}_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leq Ch^s \left(\|oldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{curl};\Omega)}+\|p\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1+s}(\Omega)}
ight).$$



FIGURE 1. Sketch of a full three dimensional domain  $\Omega$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  and the axisymmetric meridional domain  $\Omega_a$  with boundary  $\Gamma_a$  (left and right, respectively). Here  $\Gamma_s$  stands for the symmetry axis.

*Proof.* From (3.7) and (3.8), and the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h\|_{0,\Omega} \le \sigma^{-1} \left( \|\sqrt{\nu}\operatorname{curl}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_h - \boldsymbol{\omega})\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\nabla(p - p_h)\|_{0,\Omega} \right)$$

Then, the result follows from Theorem 3.5.

#### 4. The axisymmetric case

Let us now assume that the forcing term in (2.1), the domain  $\Omega$ , and the non-swirling flow patterns are all symmetric with respect to a given axis  $\Gamma_s$ . Therefore, system (2.1)-(2.5) can be recast as the following axisymmetric problem, with unknowns  $u_r, u_z, \omega$  and p (radial and vertical velocity components, scalar vorticity and pressure), defined in the meridional domain  $\Omega_a$  (see Figure 1):

(4.1) 
$$\sigma \boldsymbol{u} + \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}} \omega + \nabla p = \boldsymbol{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\mathbf{a}},$$

(4.2) 
$$\omega - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\mathrm{a}},$$

(4.3) 
$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\mathbf{a}},$$

(4.4) 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$$
 on  $\Gamma_{\rm a}$ ,

(4.5) 
$$\omega = 0$$
 on  $\Gamma_{a}$ ,

where the additional differential operators acting on vectors  $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_r, v_z)$  and scalars  $\varphi$  read

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{v} := \partial_z v_z + r^{-1} \partial_r (r v_r), \quad \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{v} := \partial_r v_z - \partial_z v_r, \quad \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}} \varphi := (\partial_z \varphi, -r^{-1} \partial_r (r \varphi))^T.$$

An augmented mixed finite element scheme for the problem above has been recently analyzed in [9], where a priori error estimates are derived uniformly in the viscosity. As we will see, following the decoupling strategy implemented in Sections 2 and 3 will yield a computationally attractive alternative to that formulation. First, some notation and preliminaries are needed:

By  $L^p_{\alpha}(\Omega_a)$  we denote the weighted Lebesgue space of measurable functions  $\varphi$  for which

$$\left\|\varphi\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}_{\alpha}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})}^{p} := \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{a}}} \left|\varphi\right|^{p} r^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \ < \infty,$$

and by  $L^2_{1,0}(\Omega_a)$  we denote the restriction of  $L^2_1(\Omega_a)$  to functions with zero weighted integral. Moreover, the space  $H^k_r(\Omega_a)$  consists of all functions in  $L^2_1(\Omega_a)$  whose derivatives up to order

k are also in  $\mathrm{L}^2_1(\Omega_\mathrm{a}),$  and related norms and semi-norms are defined in the standard way. In particular,

$$|\varphi|_{\mathrm{H}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})}^{2} := \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{a}}} \left( |\partial_{r} \varphi|^{2} + |\partial_{z} \varphi|^{2} \right) r \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \,,$$

and the space  $\widetilde{H}_1^1(\Omega_a) := H_1^1(\Omega_a) \cap L^2_{-1}(\Omega_a)$ , endowed with the  $\nu$ -dependent norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\tilde{H}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{a})} := \left(\|\varphi\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{a})} + \nu |\varphi|^{2}_{H^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{a})} + \nu \|\varphi\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{-1}(\Omega_{a})}\right)^{1/2}$$

is a Hilbert space. We will also require the following weighted scalar and vectorial functional spaces:

$$\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{a}} := \left\{ \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_{1}^{1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}); \ \varphi = 0 \ \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathbf{a}} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}} := \mathrm{H}_{1}^{1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap \mathrm{L}_{1,0}^{2}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}),$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{a}},\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})^{2}; \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \right\}, \quad \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot},\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})^{2}; \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}^{2}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \right\}. \end{split}$$
The spaces  $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{a}},\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  and  $\mathrm{H}(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}},\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  are endowed respectively with the norms:

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_{a},\Omega_{a})}^{2} := \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{a})^{2}}^{2} + \|\operatorname{div}_{a}\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{a})}^{2}, \quad \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{H}(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{a},\Omega_{a})}^{2} := \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{a})}^{2} + \nu\|\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{a}\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{a})^{2}}^{2}.$$

Furthermore,  $\|\cdot\|_{H(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}},\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{H}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})}$  are equivalent norms, and for any  $\varphi \in \widetilde{H}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})$  they verify:

$$(4.6) \quad \sqrt{\nu} \|\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}}\varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})^{2}} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\varphi\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})}, \quad \|\varphi\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{H}(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\mathbf{a}},\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\varphi\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{1}_{1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})}.$$

A variational formulation for system (4.1)-(4.5) is derived as in Section 2. In particular, we repeat the arguments in (2.6)-(2.8) together with Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 from [9], to obtain the following variational formulation: Find  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^a$  such that

(4.7) 
$$A(\omega, \theta) = F(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{a}},$$

where, making abuse of notation, the bilinear form  $A : \mathbb{Z}^{a} \times \mathbb{Z}^{a} \to \mathbb{R}$  and the linear functional  $F : \mathbb{Z}^{a} \to \mathbb{R}$  are now defined as

$$A(\omega,\theta) := \sigma \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \omega \cdot \theta \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z + \nu \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{curl}_{\mathbf{a}} \, \omega \cdot \mathbf{curl}_{\mathbf{a}} \, \theta \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \,, \quad F(\theta) := \sqrt{\nu} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{curl}_{\mathbf{a}} \, \theta \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \,.$$

In a similar manner, the pressure  $p \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}}$  can be computed from:

(4.8) 
$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \nabla p \cdot \nabla q \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \nabla q \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

The well-posedness analysis of (4.7) and (4.8), can be treated in the same way as done in Section 2: as a consequence of (4.6) and the Lax-Milgram Theorem, problem (4.7) admits a unique solution. Moreover, there exists C > 0 independent of  $\nu$  such that

$$\|\omega\|_{\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{a}}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Analogously, the unique solvability of problem (4.8) derives from the generalized Poincaré inequality and the Lax-Milgram Theorem. Also the following continuous dependence holds: there exists C > 0 independent of  $\nu$  such that

$$\|p\|_{\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}}} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Introducing the finite element subspaces (for any  $k \ge 1$ )

(4.9) 
$$\mathbf{Z}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}} := \left\{ \theta_{h} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{a}} : \theta_{h} |_{T} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(T) \; \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \right\},$$

(4.10)  $\mathbf{Q}_{h}^{\mathbf{a}} := \left\{ q_{h} \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}} : q_{h} |_{T} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(T) \; \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}) \right\},$ 



FIGURE 2. Example 1: approximated vorticity, pressure distribution, and postprocessed velocity components.

we can write a Galerkin scheme associated to (4.7): Find  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_h^a$  such that

(4.11) 
$$A(\omega_h, \theta_h) = F(\theta_h) \quad \forall \theta_h \in \mathbf{Z}_h^{\mathbf{a}},$$

whereas the discrete counterpart of (4.8) reads: Find  $p_h \in Q_h^a$  such that

(4.12) 
$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \nabla p_h \cdot \nabla q_h \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \nabla q_h \, \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}z \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Additionaly, as in Section 3.3 we can compute continuous and discrete velocities using

(4.13) 
$$\boldsymbol{u} = \sigma^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{f} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl}_{\mathbf{a}} \omega - \nabla p \right),$$

(4.14) 
$$\boldsymbol{u}_h := \sigma^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{f} - \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{curl}_{\mathbf{a}} \omega_h - \nabla p_h \right)$$

Well-posedness and error estimates for (4.11) and (4.12) can be established following the lines of Section 3 in combination with the following well-known result (cf. [20, Lemma 6.3]).

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists C > 0, independent of h and  $\nu$ , such that for all  $\theta \in H_1^{k+1}(\Omega_a)$ :

$$\|\theta - \Pi_h \theta\|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1^1(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})} \le Ch^k \|\theta\|_{\mathrm{H}_1^{k+1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{a}})} + Ch^k \|\theta\|_{\mathrm{H}_1^{k+1}(\Omega_{$$

where  $\Pi_h : \widetilde{H}^1_1(\Omega_a) \cap H^2_1(\Omega_a) \to Z_h^a$  is the Lagrange interpolator of a sufficiently smooth  $\theta$ .

**Theorem 4.2** (Convergence of vorticity, pressure and velocity). Let  $k \geq 1$  and let  $Z_h^a$  and  $Q_h^a$ be given by (4.9) and (4.10), respectively. Let  $\omega \in Z^a$ , and  $\omega_h \in Z_h^a$  be the unique solutions to the continuous and discrete problems (4.7) and (4.11), respectively, and let  $p \in Q^a$ , and  $p_h \in Q_h^a$  be the unique solutions to the continuous and discrete problems (4.8) and (4.12), respectively. Assume that  $\omega \in H_1^{k+1}(\Omega_a)$ , and  $p \in H_1^{k+1}(\Omega_a)$ . Then, there exists C > 0independent of h and  $\nu$  such that

$$\|\omega - \omega_h\|_{Z^{\mathbf{a}}} + \|p - p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}}} + \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathbf{a}}} \le Ch^k \left( \|\omega\|_{\mathbf{H}_1^{k+1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})} + \|p\|_{\mathbf{H}_1^{k+1}(\Omega_{\mathbf{a}})} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from a Céa estimate, Lemma 4.1, and (4.13)-(4.14).

#### 5. Numerical results

In what follows, we present a set of numerical examples illustrating the performance of the FE method described in Sections 3 and 4. These tests confirm the theoretical error bounds (here obtained for FE families with k = 1 and k = 2).

V. ANAYA, D. MORA, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER

h	$e(\omega)$	$r(\omega)$	e(p)	r(p)	$e(oldsymbol{u})$	$r(\boldsymbol{u})$		
Approximation with $k = 1$								
1.414214	0.807918	_	3.228957	_	1.359168	_		
0.707107	0.740748	0.125225	2.035887	0.665411	1.042079	0.383259		
0.353553	0.369010	1.005324	1.075556	0.920575	0.547000	0.929852		
0.176777	0.176928	1.060496	0.545217	0.980179	0.276879	0.982286		
0.088388	0.087248	1.019971	0.273548	0.995040	0.138862	0.995603		
0.044194	0.043432	1.006347	0.136891	0.998760	0.069483	0.998928		
0.022097	0.021683	1.002207	0.068460	0.999690	0.034747	0.999746		
0.011049	0.010836	1.000784	0.034232	0.999922	0.017374	0.999944		
0.005524	0.005417	1.000242	0.017116	0.999981	0.008687	0.999990		
Approximation with $k = 2$								
1.414214	0.062988	_	1.532734	_	0.875004	_		
0.707107	0.019315	1.705337	0.345839	2.147935	0.313263	1.481916		
0.353553	0.005309	1.863092	0.089147	1.955847	0.084033	1.898353		
0.176777	0.001347	1.977917	0.022581	1.981072	0.021384	1.974436		
0.088388	0.000335	2.005296	0.005677	1.991900	0.005370	1.993586		
0.044194	0.000083	2.001314	0.001423	1.996289	0.001344	1.998382		
0.022097	0.000021	1.999622	0.000356	1.998108	0.000336	1.999588		
0.011049	0.000006	1.999813	0.000089	1.998704	0.000084	1.999893		
0.005524	0.000002	1.990739	0.000023	1.998653	0.000021	1.999897		

TABLE 1. Example 1: convergence tests against analytical solutions on a sequence of uniformly refined triangulations of the domain  $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2$ .

The first example consists of approximating a manufactured solution on a two-dimensional domain. We consider  $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2$ ,  $\sigma = 50$ ,  $\nu = 0.001$ , and construct the forcing term f so that the exact solution to (2.1)-(2.3) is given by the following smooth functions

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x)\cos(\pi y) \\ -\cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \end{pmatrix} \text{ (satisfying (2.4))}, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} = 2\sqrt{\nu}\pi\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \text{ (satisfying (2.5))}, \\ p = x^4 - y^4 \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

The overall algorithm employed in all tests of this section consists in first solving (3.3), next (3.5), and finally applying the postprocess (3.8). In the Darcy limit ( $\nu \rightarrow 0$ ) one realizes that the specific problem definition implies that only the last two steps of the algorithm are needed. For k = 1 the velocity is projected on piecewise constant functions, whereas for k = 2 we utilize discontinuous, piecewise linear elements. We proceed to construct a series of uniformly successively refined triangular meshes for  $\Omega$  and compute grid-dependent experimental errors and convergence rates defined by

$$e(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \|\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}}, \ e(p) = \|p - p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad e(\boldsymbol{u}) = \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h\|_{0,\Omega}, \ r(\cdot) = \log(e(\cdot)/\hat{e}(\cdot))[\log(h/\hat{h})]^{-1},$$

where  $e, \hat{e}$  denote errors computed on two consecutive meshes of sizes  $h, \hat{h}$ , respectively. The error history and approximate solutions computed at the finest mesh are collected in Table 1 and Figure 2. These indicate optimal accuracy for k = 1 and k = 2, according to Theorems 3.5, 3.6.

The convergence of the method is also tested in a three-dimensional setting. We consider the same permeability and viscosity as in the previous test and employ as computational domain the parallelepiped  $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1) \times (-1,1)$ . The following exact solutions are

VORTICITY FORMULATION FOR THE BRINKMAN EQUATIONS

h	$e(oldsymbol{\omega})$	$r(oldsymbol{\omega})$	e(p)	r(p)	$e(oldsymbol{u})$	$r(\boldsymbol{u})$
0.707107	1.066508	_	1.542302	_	1.066774	_
0.353553	0.560824	0.887260	0.832154	0.890163	0.606317	0.815111
0.176777	0.371187	0.913601	0.427380	0.961333	0.316277	0.938881
0.101015	0.191278	0.969302	0.246344	0.984503	0.182499	0.982590
0.064282	0.101230	0.939636	0.157419	0.990787	0.116464	0.993762
0.044194	0.055736	0.967350	0.108620	0.990283	0.080150	0.997297
0.032141	0.027445	0.970399	0.076098	0.991052	0.054794	0.997685
0.019532	0.013072	0.974178	0.053211	0.992843	0.040509	0.998006

TABLE 2. Example 2: convergence tests against analytical solutions on a sequence of uniformly refined tetrahedrizations of the domain  $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1) \times (-1,1)$ .

constructed

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x)\cos(\pi y)\cos(\pi z) \\ -2\cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\cos(\pi z) \\ \cos(\pi x)\cos(\pi y)\sin(\pi z) \end{pmatrix}, \ \boldsymbol{\omega} = \sqrt{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} 3\pi\cos(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\sin(\pi z) \\ 0 \\ -3\pi\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\cos(\pi z) \end{pmatrix}, \ \boldsymbol{p} = x^3 - y^3 - z^3 - z^$$

which also fulfill the boundary data (2.4)-(2.5) and the regularity requirements. The external force f is computed using these functions and (2.1). The same decoupling algorithm is used to generate the error history displayed in Table 2, which puts into evidence optimal convergence rates for all fields. Here we employed the lowest order FE family k = 1. Isosurfaces representing the approximate solutions are portrayed in Figure 3.

We also carry out a simulation of viscous flow in porous media using an axisymmetric formulation and the method outlined in Section 4. The meridional domain has four sides defined by the symmetry axis (left wall r = 0), bottom and top lids (z = 0 and z = 2, respectively), and the right side is defined by the parameterization  $s \in [0, 2]$ ,  $r = 2 - s/4 + \gamma \cos(\pi s) \sin(\pi s)$ , and  $z = s - \gamma \cos(\pi s) \sin(\pi s)$ , with  $\gamma = 0.1$  (as sketched in Figure 1). The forcing term and model parameters are

$$\boldsymbol{f} = (-r\sin(\pi r)\cos(\pi z) + 4r^3, \pi^{-1}\sin(\pi r)\sin(\pi z) + r\cos(\pi r)\sin(\pi z) - 4z^3)^T, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 200, \ \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0.1$$

and the boundary data are taken as in (4.4)-(4.5). A sequence of nine successively refined unstructured triangulations of  $\Omega_a$  is generated and, in absence of a known analytical solution, errors are computed with respect to a reference solution ( $\omega_{\text{ref}}, p_{\text{ref}}, u_{\text{ref}}$ ) (obtained by solving the decoupled formulation on a highly fine mesh and with k = 2). Experimental errors and convergence rates are shown in Table 3 and indicate optimal accuracy according to Theorem 4.2. Approximate solutions (including rotational extrusion of the axisymmetric velocity and pressure) are illustrated in Figure 4.

We end with the simulation of incompressible flow in a tilted cylindrical column composed of porous material (cf. [11, 25]). Even if the non-inclined column has an axisymmetric geometry, the forcing term is more important in the gravity direction and once inclined (forming an angle of  $\pi/4$  between the z and y axes), the geometry looses symmetry with respect to the z axis. Therefore the expected patterns will not be axisymmetric and the Brinkman problem needs to be solved using the full three-dimensional formulation (2.1)-(2.5). We construct an unstructured tetrahedral mesh of 71742 vertices and 401115 elements and consider  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\nu =$ 0.01,  $\mathbf{f} = \sigma^{-1}(\exp(-yz) + x \exp(-x^2), \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi z) - y \exp(-y^2), -10xy - 10z \exp(-z^2))^T$ . The numerical solution obtained with a k = 1 family is presented in Figure 5.



FIGURE 3. Example 2: approximated solutions for the accuracy test in  $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1) \times (-1,1)$ . Vorticity magnitude, pressure distribution, velocity magnitude (top row), vorticity components (middle row), and postprocessed velocity components (bottom row).

As mentioned in Section 1, one of the most appealing features of the present method is the low computational cost compared to similarly accurate schemes such as the mixed methods suggested in [2, 26] and the augmented formulation from [7] (see also [2, Section 5]). Without counting the cost of matrix assembly, the most expensive part of the algorithm is the solution of the vorticity problem, which represents only a fraction (roughly a 32% in 3D, and 20% in 2D for k = 1; and 28% in 3D, and 16% in 2D for k = 2) of the matrix size associated to an augmented  $u - \omega - p$  formulation exhibiting the same convergence behavior.

#### 6. Concluding remarks

In this work, we have presented a new finite element method for the discretization of the vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the Brinkman equations. The key features of the proposed method are the direct and accurate access to vorticity without invoking postprocessing, its competitive computational cost compared to recent fully-mixed and augmented formulations of incompressible flows, and a natural analysis in the framework of the classical Lax-Milgram theory. We derived optimal convergence rates (and robust with respect to viscosity) in the natural norms. Some numerical tests have been presented to confirm the theoretically results established, and to illustrate the robustness and efficiency of the proposed

VORTICITY FORMULATION FOR THE BRINKMAN EQUATIONS

h	$e(oldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ref}})$	$r(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ref}})$	$e(p_{ref})$	$r(p_{\rm ref})$	$e(oldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{ref}})$	$r(\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{ref}})$		
Approximation with $k = 1$								
0.542167	0.017160	_	1.859984	_	0.010397	_		
0.425378	0.014057	0.822197	1.112951	1.116905	0.006191	1.137248		
0.248151	0.011724	0.336786	0.561472	1.069541	0.003437	1.091809		
0.122775	0.008704	0.523189	0.307919	0.953688	0.001872	0.963755		
0.083246	0.006349	0.811832	0.190052	1.041864	0.001189	1.067806		
0.054658	0.004368	0.889144	0.130523	0.993174	0.000819	0.984578		
0.039949	0.003222	0.970639	0.090257	1.076638	0.000567	1.075586		
0.029452	0.002432	0.982514	0.067977	0.992996	0.000426	0.998902		
0.024245	0.001899	1.070695	0.051930	1.084007	0.000325	1.084463		
Approximation with $k = 2$								
0.542167	0.011758	_	0.363845	_	0.003045	_		
0.425378	0.007784	1.700228	0.156508	2.477483	0.001414	2.162538		
0.248151	0.004890	0.962714	0.037755	2.368500	0.000392	2.080847		
0.122775	0.002560	1.519740	0.016794	2.151203	0.000120	1.981670		
0.083246	0.001282	1.779696	0.005636	2.110058	0.000046	2.092120		
0.054658	0.000611	1.862260	0.002650	1.893518	0.000021	1.946814		
0.039949	0.000329	1.976806	0.001363	2.120472	0.000010	2.033152		
0.029452	0.000187	1.952764	0.000773	1.960971	0.000006	1.964997		
0.021245	0.000115	2.055914	0.000487	2.071127	0.000003	2.099401		

TABLE 3. Example 3: errors and convergence rates computed on a sequence of unstructured meshes of the axisymmetric domain  $\Omega_a$ , against a fine reference solution. Errors and convergence rates are re-defined using the axisymmetric spaces.

method. Possible extensions of this work include the study of vorticity-based formulations of three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, transient Stokes equations, axisymmetric time-dependent Navier-Stokes equations, and the coupling with Darcy flow and with transport phenomena.

Acknowledgments. V. Anaya was partially supported by CONICYT-Chile through project Inserción de Capital Humano Avanzado en la Academia No. 79112012, and DIUBB through project 151408 GI/VC; and D. Mora was partially supported by CONICYT-Chile through FONDECYT project 1140791, by Anillo ANANUM, ACT1118, CONICYT (Chile) and by DIUBB through project 151408 GI/VC. The research of R. Ruiz-Baier was possible thanks to the Swiss National Science Foundation through the grant SNSF PP00P2-144922. This work was initiated during a visit of V. Anaya and D. Mora to the Institut des Sciences de la Terre, University of Lausanne.

#### References

- A. ALONSO AND A. VALLI, An optimal domain decomposition preconditioner for low-frequency time harmonic Maxwell equations. Math. Comp., 68 (1999) 607–631.
- [2] M. ALVAREZ, G.N. GATICA, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, Analysis of a vorticity-based fully-mixed formulation for the 3D Brinkman-Darcy problem. CI<sup>2</sup>MA preprint 2014-34, available from http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl/publicaciones/prepublicaciones.
- [3] M. AMARA, D. CAPATINA-PAPAGHIUC, AND D. TRUJILLO, Stabilized finite element method for Navier-Stokes equations with physical boundary conditions. Math. Comp., 76(259) (2007) 1195–1217.



FIGURE 4. Example 3: approximated vorticity, pressure distribution, and postprocessed velocity components on the axisymmetric domain  $\Omega_a$ .

- [4] M. AMARA, D. CAPATINA-PAPAGHIUC, E. CHACÓN-VERA, AND D. TRUJILLO, Vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation for Navier-Stokes equations. Comput. Vis. Sci., 6 (2004) 47–52.
- [5] M. AMARA, E. CHACÓN VERA, AND D. TRUJILLO, Vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation for Stokes problem. Math. Comp., 73(248) (2004) 1673–1697.
- [6] K. AMOURA, M. AZAÏEZ, C. BERNARDI, N. CHORFI, AND S. SAADI, Spectral element discretization of the vorticity, velocity and pressure formulation of the Navier-Stokes problem. Calcolo, 44(3) (2007) 165–188.
- [7] V. ANAYA, D. MORA, G.N. GATICA, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, An augmented velocity-vorticity-pressure formulation for the Brinkman equations. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, in press. DOI: 10.1002/fld.4041
- [8] V. ANAYA, D. MORA, R. OYARZÚA, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, A priori and a posteriori error analysis for a mixed scheme for the Brinkman problem. Numer. Math., in press.
- [9] V. ANAYA, D. MORA, C. REALES, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, Stabilized mixed approximation of axisymmetric Brinkman flows. ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 49(3) (2015) 855–874.
- [10] V. ANAYA, D. MORA, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, An augmented mixed finite element method for the vorticityvelocity-pressure formulation of the Stokes equations. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 267 (2013) 261–274.
- [11] R. BÜRGER, S. KUMAR, AND R. RUIZ-BAIER, Discontinuous finite volume element discretization for coupled flow-transport problems arising in models of sedimentation. J. Comput. Phys., in press.
- [12] B. COCKBURN, AND J. CUI, An analysis of HDG methods for the vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the Stokes problem in three dimensions. Math. Comp., 81(279) (2012) 1355–1368.

VORTICITY FORMULATION FOR THE BRINKMAN EQUATIONS



FIGURE 5. Example 4: approximated vorticity streamlines and vectors, pressure distribution iso-surfaces, and postprocessed velocity vectors and streamlines.

- [13] F. DUBOIS, M. SALAÜN, AND S. SALMON, First vorticity-velocity-pressure numerical scheme for the Stokes problem. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 192(44–46) (2003) 4877–4907.
- [14] V. GIRAULT AND P.A. RAVIART, Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and algorithms. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [15] T. GORNAK, J.L. GUERMOND, O. ILIEV, AND P.D. MINEV, A direction splitting approach for incompressible Brinkman flow. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. B, 4(1) (2013) 1–13.
- [16] Q. HONG AND J. KRAUS, Uniformly stable discontinuous Galerkin discretization and robust iterative solution methods for the Brinkman problem. RICAM report 2014-36, available from http://www.ricam.oeaw.ac.at/publications/reports.
- [17] K.H. KARLSEN AND T.K. KARPER, A convergent nonconforming finite element method for compressible Stokes flow. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 48(5) (2010) 1846–1876.
- [18] K.H. KARLSEN AND T.K. KARPER, Convergence of a mixed method for a semi-stationary compressible Stokes system. Math. Comp., 80 (2011) 1459–1498.
- [19] J.-G. LIU AND W. E, Simple finite element method in vorticity formulation for incompressible flows. Math. Comp., 70(234) (2001) 579–593.
- [20] B. MERCIER AND G. RAUGEL, Resolution d'un problème aux limites dans un ouvert axisymétrique par éléments finis en r, z et séries de Fourier en θ. RAIRO Anal. Numér., 16 (1982) 405–461.
- [21] P. MONK, Finite Element Methods for Maxwell's Equations. Oxford University Press, New-York, 2003.
- [22] P. PONCET, Analysis of an immersed boundary method for three-dimensional flow in vorticity formulation.
   J. Comput. Phys., 228 (2009) 7268–7288.
- [23] K.R. RAJAGOPAL, On a hierarchy of approximate models for flows of incompressible fluids through porous solids. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 17 (2007) 215–252.
- [24] A. RIAZ AND E. MEIBURG, Three-dimensional miscible displacement simulations in homogeneous porous media with gravity override. J. Fluid Mech., 494 (2003) 95–117.
- [25] R. RUIZ-BAIER AND I. LUNATI, Mixed finite element primal finite volume element discretization of multicontinuum models, Infoscience report 206929, available from http://infoscience.epfl.ch.
- [26] P.S. VASSILEVSKI AND U. VILLA, A mixed formulation for the Brinkman problem. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52(1) (2014) 258–281.

## Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (Cl<sup>2</sup>MA)

### **PRE-PUBLICACIONES 2015**

- 2015-10 MARIO ÁLVAREZ, GABRIEL N. GATICA, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER: A mixed-primal finite element approximation of a steady sedimentation-consolidation system
- 2015-11 SEBASTIANO BOSCARINO, RAIMUND BÜRGER, PEP MULET, GIOVANNI RUSSO, LUIS M. VILLADA: On linearly implicit IMEX Runge-Kutta methods for degenerate convection-diffusion problems modeling polydisperse sedimentation
- 2015-12 RAIMUND BÜRGER, CHRISTOPHE CHALONS, LUIS M. VILLADA: On second-order antidiffusive Lagrangian-remap schemes for multispecies kinematic flow models
- 2015-13 RAIMUND BÜRGER, SUDARSHAN K. KENETTINKARA, SARVESH KUMAR, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER: Finite volume element-discontinuous Galerkin approximation of viscous two-phase flow in heterogeneous porous media
- 2015-14 GABRIEL N. GATICA, LUIS F. GATICA, FILANDER A. SEQUEIRA: A priori and a posteriori error analyses of a pseudostress-based mixed formulation for linear elasticity
- 2015-15 ANAHI GAJARDO, NICOLAS OLLINGER, RODRIGO TORRES: Some undecidable problems about the trace-subshift associated to a Turing machine
- 2015-16 FERNANDO BETANCOURT, RAIMUND BÜRGER, CHRISTOPHE CHALONS, STEFAN DIEHL, SEBASTIAN FARÅS: A random sampling approach for a family of Templeclass systems of conservation laws
- 2015-17 ANAHI GAJARDO, NICOLAS OLLINGER, RODRIGO TORRES: The transitivity problem of Turing machine.
- 2015-18 ANAHI GAJARDO, DIEGO MALDONADO, ANDRES MOREIRA: Universal time-symmetric number-conserving cellular automaton.
- 2015-19 JOHNNY GUZMAN, FILANDER A. SEQUEIRA, CHI WANG SHU: H(div) conforming and DG methods for the incompressible Euler equations
- 2015-20 RAIMUND BÜRGER, STEFAN DIEHL, CAMILO MEJÍAS: On time discretizations for the simulation of the settling-compression process in one dimension
- 2015-21 VERONICA ANAYA, DAVID MORA, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER: Pure vorticity formulation and Galerkin discretization for the Brinkman equations

Para obtener copias de las Pre-Publicaciones, escribir o llamar a: DIRECTOR, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE, TEL.: 41-2661324, o bien, visitar la página web del centro: http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl









Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (CI<sup>2</sup>MA) **Universidad de Concepción** 

Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile Tel.: 56-41-2661324/2661554/2661316http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl





