

# SPLITTING METHOD FOR HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS WITH STIFF RELAXATION SOURCE TERMS: ANALYSIS AND APPLICATION TO POLYDISPERSE SPRAYS IN SOLID ROCKET MOTORS

JOEL DUPAYS, FREDERIQUE LAURENT, MARC MASSOT, AND ALARIC SIBRA

ABSTRACT. Many physical problems encountered in multiphase flows are described by hyperbolic systems of conservation laws with relaxation terms. These systems combine both nonlinear interaction and convection. In the context of dispersed two-phase flows, the Eulerian Multi-Fluid model [1, 2] is particularly suitable to describe polydisperse sprays in turbulent gaseous flows. In solid rocket motor applications, high particle mass loadings can appreciably modify the gaseous flow field due to momentum and energy exchanges. This two-way coupling between both phases is expressed through relaxation source terms. The range of particle sizes can be quite wide and the relaxation times i.e. the characteristic times for particles to reach a thermal or dynamic equilibrium depends on their size parameter. For small particles with fast time scales, the relaxation terms become stiff. Diffusive equations, considering that the asymptotic regime is reached, could be envisioned for some subrange of particles/droplets of very small inertia; however, taking into account the whole size range of a polydisperse spray is incompatible with such an approach.

Splitting techniques consisting in decoupling the convection and relaxation terms have several advantages such as the use of dedicated numerical schemes. But they can decrease the order of accuracy when there are small time scales [3, 4]. A novel numerical strategy based on a time cost saving operator splitting has been developed and implemented in CEDRE, an industrial-oriented research code developed at ONERA. It demonstrates very promising results in terms of physical response for solid rocket motor applications [5]. We show that this splitting method is accurate for non stiff source terms but introduces a certain level of error when the time step is larger than particle relaxation times, even if high order adaptive schemes are used in order to integrate the stiff source terms. Such technique requires very small time step to capture asymptotic regimes with full accuracy.

The purpose of the present contribution is first to isolate the error of the splitting scheme from those introduced by the convection and relaxation schemes. We provide a characterization of the splitting error for simplified acoustic/spray interactions. Then we estimate the feasibility of the splitting method by evaluating the impact of such an error in the context of realistic solid propulsion simulations. Moreover we use our analysis of the splitting error to extend the splitting technique and to predict accurately the asymptotic regime. Numerical tests are proposed to compare the classical splitting with the new strategy introduced.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Laurent and M. Massot. Multi-fluid modeling of laminar poly-dispersed spray flames: origin, assumptions and comparison of the sectional and sampling methods. *Combustion Theory and Modelling*, 4(5) (2001), 537–572.
- [2] F. Doisneau, F. Laurent, A. Murrone, J. Dupays and M. Massot. Eulerian Multi-Fluid models for the simulation of dynamics and coalescence of particles in solid propellant combustion. submitted, available at <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00618806> (2011).
- [3] S. Descombes and M. Massot. Operator splitting for nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems with an entropic structure: singular perturbation and order reduction. *Numerische Mathematik*, 97(4) (2004), 667–698.
- [4] M. Duarte. Adaptive numerical methods in time and space for the simulation of multi-scale reaction fronts. PhD thesis, Ecole Centrale Paris (2011), available at <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00667857>.
- [5] F. Doisneau, A. Sibra, J. Dupays, A. Murrone, F. Laurent and M. Massot. An efficient and accurate numerical strategy for two-way coupling in unsteady polydisperse moderately dense sprays: application to solid rocket motor instabilities. submitted to *J. Prop. Power* (2012).

ONERA - DEFA, BP 80100, 91120 PALAISEAU, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* [joel.dupays@onera.fr](mailto:joel.dupays@onera.fr)

CNRS, UPR 288 - LABORATOIRE EM2C, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE AND ECOLE CENTRALE PARIS,  
GRANDE VOIE DES VIGNES, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* [frederique.laurent@ecp.fr](mailto:frederique.laurent@ecp.fr)

CNRS, UPR 288 - LABORATOIRE EM2C, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE AND ECOLE CENTRALE PARIS,  
GRANDE VOIE DES VIGNES, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* [marc.massot@ecp.fr](mailto:marc.massot@ecp.fr)

ONERA - DEFA, BP 80100, 91120 PALAISEAU, FRANCE  
CNRS, UPR 288 - LABORATOIRE EM2C, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE AND ECOLE CENTRALE PARIS,  
GRANDE VOIE DES VIGNES, CHÂTENAY-MALABRY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* [alaric.sibra@onera.fr](mailto:alaric.sibra@onera.fr)